सत्यब्रत साहु, आई.ए.एस. संयुक्त सचिव Satyabrata Sahu, I.A.S. Joint Secretary





भारत सरकार पेयजल एवं स्वच्छता मंत्रालय

Government of India

Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation B-2 Wing, 4th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

> Tel.: 24361043 Fax: 24364113 E-mail: jstm@nic.in Website: www.ddws.nic.in

> > D.O. WQ-11021/7/2016-WQ

Dated: 02<sup>nd</sup> September, 2016

Dear Madom/Sir,

This is regarding effort of the Ministry proposed to be undertaken for mitigating contamination of Arsenic / Fluoride in Rural Drinking Water Supply in a sustainable manner to avoid hardships being faced by the rural population. For the same, the Ministry is planning to have a National Sub-Mission within the National Rural Drinking Water Programme (NRDWP) through which new piped water supply schemes from safe perennial sources may be taken up by the States. Draft Guidelines of the Sub-Mission is enclosed for perusal (Anex.I).

- 2. The Sub-Mission which is to be completed in a time bound manner by March, 2020, will be funded on 50:50 Centre:State sharing basis excepting North-East and Himalayan States where the sharing pattern will be 90:10. While selecting the habitations for sanctioning the schemes, the State is to ensure cluster of habitations which have only or mostly Arsenic / Fluoride affected habitations and enroute non-Arsenic / Fluoride affected habitations are to be avoided and may be allowed in case of only exceptional unavoidable situations. However, the funding from the Ministry will only be proportional to population of Arsenic / Fluoride affected habitations and not for enroute habitations. Further, funds will be released in installments to the States on milestones of physical completion of each scheme.
- 3. Based on the data available in the Integrated Management Information System (IMIS) as on 18.08.2016, data sheet has been prepared (enclosed as (Anex.II) for the respective State involving Arsenic / Fluoride affected habitations. The State is hereby requested to furnish further details by filling

Contd....2/---

ग्रामीण क्षेत्रों में पेयजल रथायित्व एवं सम्पूर्ण रवच्छता

Sustainable Drinking Water and Sanitation for all In Rural Areas

of the last columns of the data sheet <u>by 16.09.2016</u> through entry under IMIS. The States are hereby also requested to forward the **commitment of ensuring State matching share** corresponding to release of Central Share for Arsenic / Fluoride affected habitations under this Sub-Mission and **entire share corresponding to enroute habitations**.

- 4. It is also pertinent to mention here that for every successful scheme, there must be a robust mechanism for monitoring during execution which will help the Implementing Agency. For this, provision of **geo-tagging and third party monitoring** are also being contemplated.
- 5. With this, I hereby request the State Government to do the needful as requested above so as to enable the Ministry to move the proposal of the above said National Sub-Mission Plan.

With Regard,

Yours sincerely,

(Satyabrata Sahu)

To

Principal Secretary / Secretary of Rural Water Supply Department of States of Rajasthan, Bihar, Karnataka, West Bengal, Telangana, Jharkhand, Andhra Pradesh, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Assam, Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Kerala, Odisha, Maharashtra and Gujarat.

# Guidelines to provide safe drinking water in remaining Arsenic and Fluoride affected habitations in rural India on mission mode

#### A. Preamble:

About 76 percent of rural habitations in India have achieved a fully covered (FC) status, under the National Rural Drinking Water Program, with 40 liters per capita daily (lpcd), but this coverage is primarily through hand-pumps and does not necessarily translate into sustainable and good quality service delivery. More than 71,000 habitations are suffering from problems of water quality and only 52 percent of the 170 million plus rural households have access to tap water. The major physio-chemical pollutants include Arsenic, Fluoride, Iron, Salinity and Nitrate, with critical being Arsenic and Fluoride since they pose immediate health hazard compared to the others. A state-wise list of habitations affected by Arsenic and Fluoride is given below:

Table 1: States affected by Fluoride and Arsenic contamination as per IMIS of Ministry as on 11th August 2016

State	Total Water Quality affected Habitations	Arsenic (>0.05mg/l)	Fluoride (>1.5mg/l)
Rajasthan	20895	0	6855
West Bengal	10004	962	1053
Assam	8840	284	155
Jharkhand	6834	119	998
Bihar	5574	102	1087
Punjab	3770	206	285
Odisha	2799	0	70
Karnataka	2117	. 4	1054
Telangana	1484	0	1041
Chhattisgarh	1148	0	75
Kerala	656	0	73
Andhra Pradesh	571	0	491
Maharashtra	394	0	100
Uttar Pradesh	361	57	200
Haryana	209	0	200

Madhya Pradesh	193	0	148	
Gujarat	17	0	11	

\*Note: Recently BIS has reduced the permissible limit of arsenic in drinking water from 0.05 mg/litre to 0.01 mg/litre through an Amendment Issued in June 2015. Hence around 10,000 rural habitations are expected with arsenic level more than 0.01mg/l.

Arsenic is a carcinogenic element and is associated with skin, lung, bladder, kidney, and liver cancer. Dermatological, developmental, neurological, respiratory, cardiovascular, immunological, and endocrine effects are also evident.

Fluorosis, a public health problem, is caused by excess intake of fluorides through drinking water/food products/industrial pollutants, over a prolonged period. It causes severe health related disorders such as dental fluorosis, skeletal fluorosis and non-skeletal fluorosis besides inducing ageing.

These harmful effects, being permanent and irreversible in nature, are detrimental to the health of an individual and the community, which in turn have an impact on growth, development, economy and human resource development of the country.

To address the issue of last mile coverage and eliminate problems of Arsenic and Fluoride in all the habitations, Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation proposes a program focused on Arsenic and Fluoride. This programme aims to take India one step closer toward international standards of Water Quality by the year 2020.

# B. Defining standard drinking water quality:

Bureau of Indian Standards has set specifications in its IS-10500-2012 standards for drinking water. However, this standard is only voluntary in nature and not legally supported for enforcement. This standard has two limits:

- Desirable limits
- Maximum permissible or cause for rejection limits

If any parameter exceeds the cause for rejection limit, that water is considered as contaminated. Broadly speaking, water is defined as contaminated if it is biologically contaminated (presence of microscopic organisms such as algae, zoo-plankton, flagillates, E-coli etc) or chemical contamination exceeds permissible limits (e.g. excess fluoride [>1.5mg/l], salinity i.e., Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) [>2,000mg/l], dissolved iron [>0.3mg/l], arsenic [>0.01mg/l], nitrates [>45mg/l] etc.).

In rural areas, more than 85% of drinking water sources are ground water based and in the short-term, chemical constituents in groundwater do not change much, therefore testing once in a year for chemical contaminants is adequate. Testing for bacteriological contamination is recommended 4 times a year, once in every season. However, every year it should be carried out at least twice i.e. during pre-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons.

# C. Existing funding under NRDWP to tackle drinking water quality problems:

Up to 67% fund allocated to the States can be utilized for coverage of water quality affected habitations and for tackling water quality problems in rural areas of the country. Further, 5% of NRDWP funds are also earmarked and allocated to Japanese Encephalitis/Acute Encephalitis Syndrome (JE/ABs) affected high priority districts. In addition to this, Government of India provides 3% NRDWP funds on 100% Central assistance basis to States for water quality monitoring and surveillance, which inter alia include taking up works relating to setting up of new up-gradation of districts/sub district water quality testing laboratories, providing chemicals and consumables to laboratories, providing field test kits/refills to Gram Panchayats etc. Further, up to 10% of NRDWP funds allocated to States could be utilized for sustainability of drinking water sources through artificial recharge of ground water and other methods, which inter alia may also dilute the level of contamination in aquifers.

# D. Steps taken so far / short term measures for tackling drinking water contamination:

- 1. The Ministry has prepared a Strategy Plan to provide safe drinking water to 90% of the rural population of the country preferably through surface water based piped water supply schemes by the year 2022 as a long-term sustainable solution, subject to availability of funds.
- 2. The Ministry has encouraged all the States to commission surface water based piped water supply schemes in all water quality affected habitations as a long term sustainable solution.
- 3. All States have been advised to install community water purification plants, in reported arsenic and fluoride affected habitations by March 2017, as this is quicker compared to installation of piped water supply schemes.
- 4. This is being done as a short term immediate measure for providing 8-10 lpcd (litre per capita per day) of safe water for drinking and cooking purpose only.
- 5. Since the allocation of the Ministry was reduced during 2015-16, NITI Ayog has released Rs 1000 crore as a one-time Central assistance for this purpose which also includes funds for last mile connectivity of piped water supply schemes in Rajasthan and West Bengal which are most affected by fluoride and arsenic contaminations in drinking water respectively.

# E. Why a National Sub-Mission?

The proposed program warrants a National Sub-Mission to be completed on mission mode before March 2020 due to:

- 1. Criticality and urgency of the matter
- 2. Requirement of significant increase in operational efficiency
- 3. Requirement of additional funds, robust monitoring and surveillance of those
- 4. Requirement of special technology, manpower and strategy to achieve the goal

#### F. Goal:

To cover of all the arsenic & fluoride affected habitations with safe & perennial surface water based piped water supply schemes as the permanent & sustainable solution.

# (a) The sub-mission will have three phases namely:

- 1. Diagnostic phase: To correctly determine the action plan based on most recent data
- 2. Implementation phase: Roll-out of area specific schemes as per guidelines
- 3. Sustain phase: To ensure that schemes are running successfully with adequate monitoring and surveillance

# G. Steps to roll out the project:

- 1. A detailed baseline survey, will be conducted by Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation with the help of States, to define performance metrics and as-is situation. The analysis will be used for prioritization and implementation plan.
- 2. Identification of habitations: State has to identify the habitations, affected by water contaminated by Arsenic and Fluoride. States have to report regularly on Integrated Management Information System (IMIS) of the Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation.

#### Priorities may be as below:-

- (a) Habitations not covered by any other existing long term programme of central or state government.
- (b) Habitations having higher degree of contamination according to IMIS data.
- 3. Identification of Source: State has to identify and select the source may be surface source / contaminants free aquifer, but which should be perennial, permanent, safe and sustainable and preferably nearer to the identified habitation.
- 4. Quality testing of source: States have to follow the Uniform Drinking Water Quality Monitoring Protocol published and widely distributed by the Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation.
- Preparation of Schemes: On the basis of identification of habitation and source, State has to prepare a proposal.
  - > Mandatory Requirements:

- 1. Per capita cost of supply of safe and adequate drinking water to the end user.
- 2. Operation and Maintenance cost.
- 3. Provision of en-route villages, towns and cities if any, in co-ordination with the respective local government bodies / institutions, but priority to be given on Arsenic / Fluoride affected habitations.
- 4. Detailed phase wise and time bound plan.
- 5. The State should firmly commit in providing, State matching share corresponding to release of Central Share for Arsenic and Fluoride affected habitations and entire share corresponding to en-route habitations.
- Ground Level Service Reservoir (GLSR) / Over Head Tanks (OHT / ESR) should not be far away from the source to minimize raising mains.
- 7. Ground Level Service Reservoir (GLSR) / Over Head Tanks (OHT / ESR) should be located so as to give adequate distribution by gravity to cover maximum number of habitations.
- 8. The schemes should have recycling / reuse of filter bed washed water in Water Treatment Plants (WTP).
- 9. All mega schemes with Capital Expenditure more than 20 Crore shall necessarily have Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system to minimize water losses and non-revenue water losses.
- 10. The schemes should have sufficient capacity of chlorination plants including online booster chlorination plants, so that end user should get purified / safe water.
- 11. All the mega water supply schemes shall have dedicated Three Phase electrical power supply.
- 12. All Water Treatment Plants (WTP's) shall necessarily have a water quality testing laboratory with adequate manpower.
- 13. It is up to the State Government to decide the service level of water supply delivery, however in no case this shall be less than 40 liter per capita per day (LPCD) based on current population.
- 14. All mega schemes, shall be commissioned within a span of 24 months from the date of award of work.
- 15. The schemes should have the provision for bulk water meter before the entry point of Gram Panchayat / Habitation.

#### > Advisory:

- 16. It is advised to use renewable energy like Solar power / solar panels /solar light wherever necessary and required to minimize the O&M cost and to the save electricity.
- 17. It is advised to have sufficient number of flow meters in the scheme.

- 18. It is advisable that, the schemes should be designed so that, it makes minimum energy consumption.
- 19. It is advised to have necessary provision for extension, in future.
- 20. It is advised to have a suitable water tariff plan, if not existing already.

## H. Apex Committee:

States have to submit the Detailed Project Report (DPRs) approved by State Level Scheme Sanction Committee (SLSSC) to the Ministry to accord the approval from Apex Committee.

Details of Apex Committee member are as below:

Sl.No.	Committee	
1.	Secretary, Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation.	Chairperson
2.	Financial Adviser, Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation.	Member
3.	Joint Secretary (Water), Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation.	Member
4.	Representative from NITI Aayog.	Member
5.	Representative from Department of Expenditure.	Member
6.	Representative from Ministry of Statistical and Programme Implementation.	Member
7.	Representative from Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.	Member .
8.	Director (Water), Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation.	Member
9.	Deputy Adviser (WQ), Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation.	Convenor

# I. Monitoring and Surveillance:

- States have to report regularly on Integrated Management Information System (IMIS) of the Ministry about physical and financial progress.
- 2. States have to report the Global Positioning System (GPS) co-ordinates of source point and delivery point in IMIS of the Ministry.
- 3. States have to upload the photographs regularly on mRWS mobile app.

- 4. This Programme will be monitored by District Development Coordination and Monitoring Committee (DISHA) at district level recently constituted by Ministry of Rural Development under Chairmanship of Member of Parliament (M.P.).
- 5. All the schemes will have milestone linked funding,
- 6. All the schemes will have Geo-Tagging facility.
- 7. All the schemes are monitored either by Bhuvan based satellite or by Drone based satellite.

## J. Modus operandi of implementation:

#### > Process flow:

- 1. A dedicated technology application will be developed for the sub-mission which will serve as a repository of all sub-mission related data.
- 2. All scheme related processes will be routed through this portal to ensure realtime monitoring and increased efficiency
- 3. A standard and user friendly Project Information Format (PIF) will be designed by Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation which will be common to all the states. The PIF will be used to initiate all new schemes and serve as a feeder for the DPR.
- 4. The portal will have the functionality of integrating existing schemes into the sub-mission
- 5. As per the guidelines and approval framework of the sub-mission, all scheme related information will be uploaded on the portal for review and approval. All approvals, rejections, sanctions will be online with a pre-decided turnaround time for stakeholders. At any point of time, stakeholders can access real-time status of the PIF
  - 6. The DPRs, will be required Post-Facto and only for those scheme PIFs which have received all necessary approvals
  - 7. The scheme implementation and ground-work can start in parallel to ensure speed and efficiency

## > Project planning:

- 8. It is the Engineer in Chief / Chief Engineer of the State Government who will be responsible for preparing the most techno-economically feasible and cost effective Detailed Project Report (DPR).
- 9. While preparing the DPR's the Engineer in Chief / Chief Engineer shall ensure the ground level engineers with the help of district administration and Zilla Panchayat to take in principal approval of all Gram Panchayat (G.P.) for acceptance of the scheme and taking over the assets within their jurisdiction.
- 10. The approved proposal in Annual Action plan shall then be made into detailed project reports (DPR's) for final approval in State Level Scheme Sanction Committee (SLSSC).
- 11. After preparation of DPR's they should be vetted technical by the State technical agency before the same is placed at State Level Scheme Sanction Committee (SLSSC).
- 12. The area officer of the Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation shall be responsible for technical examination of DPR's and appraise the State Level Scheme Sanction Committee (SLSSC) for taking a decision.
- 13. States have to submit the Detailed Project Report (DPRs) approved by State Level Scheme Sanction Committee (SLSSC) to the Ministry to accord the approval from Apex Committee.
- 14. Since overall implementation is on mission mode a dedicated Project Director (not below the rank of Superintendent Engineer) shall be engaged by all the State Governments for design and implementation of the project.

#### K. Technical and Administrative Sanctions:

After approval of the proposal in Apex Committee. Technical sanction to be accorded by the competent authority. Depending up on technical sanction, administrative sanction to be accorded by the competent authority.

# L. Funding Pattern:

Funds sharing between Center and State for North-Eastern /Himalayan States shall be 90:10 and for all other States 50:50.

#### M. Proposed Release of Grants:

On the basis of administrative sanctions, grants should be released to the executing agency by the Ministry of Drinking Water & Sanitation, in phased manner based on the performance and submission of requisite physical and financial document to the Ministry.

## N. Recurring Expenditure:

- 1. It is advisable that the State shall dovetail 14th finance commission founds for under taking 0&M within their jurisdiction.
- 2. It is the overall responsibility of the concerned State Government to bear the Recurring expenditure, if necessary over and above the 15% NRDWP-0&M funds allocated to them.
- 3. It is the responsibility of Gram Panchayat to own-up and take-up operation and maintenance (O&M) within their jurisdiction, while O&M responsibility of all head works including Water Treatment Plants (WTP's) shall be with the State Department / Board dealing with rural water supply.

# O. Information, Education and Communication (IEC) activities:

- Extensive awareness campaign on Arsenic and Fluoride should be organized at Gram Panchayat level.
- 2. To explain the technology / training / methodology and procedure to the Scheme implementing Engineers, periodic Workshop should be organized at various reputed institutions.

The program strives to ensure sustainability of water availability in terms of potability, adequacy, convenience, affordability and equity, on a sustainable basis, while also adopting decentralised approach involving States and community organizations.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

# Ministry of Drinking Water & Sanitation National Rural Drinking Water Programme

Format F18 - Estimated Cost of covering Arsenic and Fluoride Habitations (As on 18/08/2016)

		_		·			r-1			r—				· "1		T	·	1	<u> </u>	T	<u> </u>			S.No.
22 6	3	16	2	17	16	15	14	13	12	7	5		ဖ	œ	7		၈	ហ	4		"	2		, o
Clivic	CHATTICADE	CHATTISGARH	CHANDIGARH Total	CHANDIGARH	CHANDIGARH	BIHAR Total	BIHAR	BIHAR	ASSAM Total	ASSAM	ASSAM	Total	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	PRADESH	TO CHAI	ANDHRA PRADESH	ANDHRA PRADESH	PRADESH	NICOBAR Total	ANDAMAN and	ANDAMAN and NICOBAR	ANDAMAN and NICOBAR	S.No. State
Califor		Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic			Flouride	Arsenic			Flouride	Arsenic			Flouride	Arsenic	As per lab testing Additional Affected affected as (>.05)
75	75					1145	1043	102	439	3	204	20	0	o			421	421	0		0	0	0	Habitations Affected
		0				9/		76			0		343	0	Q.		0	0	c		0	0	0	As per lab testing Additional Total Habitations Affected affected as Habitations (>.01 & <.05)
	0 75					7.1						3776	343	0	4	2/2	421	421			0	0	0	
51	i .											511	9	0		٥	N	2		2	0	.0	0	No Of Habitations Where Ongoing Schemes
7 68	7 68			***			_			33		3215	334			334	419	419			0	0	0	
8 24484	244	2						1128975		I	19729		22479			22479	292899	660767		0	0_	0	٥	Present Design Affected population population = in such 1.3*Present habitations population
4 31829			0 0				1	١	ļ	اي		1608053	29223			29223	380769	000708		0	0		Ç	
_9	9 0000		7000			7000			7000		8565	7000	<b>v</b>	8363		7000		ļ		7000		8565	, 000	
2726.15	I	275	0.00		0.00	"	$\neg$		151609.57	114760.46	2196.75	11	2045.61	0.00		2045.61	32612.86		326	0.00	0.00	0.00	2 2	Cost of schemes coverage (In Lakhs)
																	0	ļ	0	0	0			Enroute Habitations Required to be covered with such schemes
		0		2	0	0	0	0		0			0			0	0		0	0	0			Additional cost for coverage of Enroute Habitations
1,20		0 2726.15		0.00	0.00	0.00	0 277315.33	0 125705.76	Γ.	Į	1		0 2045.61		0.00	0 2045.61	32612.86		32612.86	0.00	0.00			Total cost (in Lakhs)

4	43	42	4 4	40	39	38	37	မ္တ	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22		T	S.N.O.
JAMMU AND KASHMIR	JAMMU AND KASHMIR	HIMACHAL PRADESH Total	HIMACHAL PRADESH	HIMACHAL PRADESH	HARYANA Total	HARYANA	HARYANA	GUJARAT Total	GUJARAT	GUJARAT	GOA Total	GOA	GOA	DELHI Total	DETHI	DETHI	DAMAN & DIU Total	DAMAN & DIU	DAMAN & DIU	DADRA & NAGAR HAVELI Total	DADRA & NAGAR HAVELI	DADRA & NAGAR HAVELI	Total	CHATTISGARH	State
Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic			As per lab testing Additional Additions Habitations affected as (>.01 & <.05)
0	0	0	0	0	200	200	0	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Habitations Affected
0	7	157	0	157	45	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			As per lab testing Additional Habitations affected as! (>.01 & <.05)
0	7	157	0	157	245	200	45	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Total I Affected Habitations
0	5	7	0	7		8	0	1	1	O O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	oļ	_ 0	0	0			No Of Habitations Where Ongoing Schemes
	4 <del></del>						===		+				- 4445 (			· <del></del> :				-	<u></u>	1		•	Net Habitations
0	2 3642	150 3275	0	150 32752	237 630833	192 48788	45 142944	10 19077	10 19077		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (	0	0 (			Present Affected population in such habitations
0	2 4735	2 42578	0	2 42578	3 820083	9 634256		7 24800	7 24800	Ĭ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Design population = 1.3*Present
8565	7000		8565	7000	E	8565	7000		8565			8565	7000		8565	7000		8565	7000		8565	7000			Average per capita cost of schemes to covered with such habitations
0.00	331.45	2980.46	0.00	2980.46	67331.92	54324.03	13007.89	2124.12	2124.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			Cost of schemes coverage (In Lakhs)
0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Minimum Enroute Habitations Required to be covered with such schemes
0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	Q			0		0			0	0		0	0	o			Additional cost for coverage of Enroute
0.00	331.45	2980.46	0.00	2980.46	67331.92	54324.03	13007.89	2124.12	2124.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			Total cost (In Lakhs)

7.7	1 2	8	8	3	67	66	65	2	63	න	61		8	59	58	57	g	3 8	2	1	52	27	50	49	\$	47	<b>&amp;</b>	<b>₽</b>	S.No.
MIZORAM		5	MEGHA! AYA	MECHAL AVA	MEGHAI AYA	MANIPUR Total	MANIPUR	MANIPUR	Total	MAHARASHTRA	MAHARASHTRA Arsenic	Total	MADHYA PRADESH	MADHYA PRADESH	MADHYA PRADESH	Total	LAKSHADWEET					KARNATAKA Total	KARNATAKA	KARNATAKA	JHARKHAND Total	JHARKHAND		KASHMIR Total	
Flouride	Arsenic		loginae	Flourido	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic			Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Flouride	Arsenic		Contamination
0	) C	0	c	0 0	2	0	0	0	100	100	0		136	136	0	0	c	0	73	73	0	1042	1038	4	1117	998	119	0	Habitations Affected
_0	) c	) <u>1</u>		5 -		0	0	0	1	0	1		418	0	418	0	lo	0	3	0	3	17	0	17	11	0	11	7	As per lab testing Additional Habitations affected as (>.01 & <.05)
	C	-		) <u>-</u>		0	0	0	101	100	1		554	136	418	0	0	0	76	73	3	1059	1038	21	1128	998	130	7	Total   Affected Habitations
<u> </u>		0	<u></u>	> 0	2	0	0.	0	54	52	0		147	128	19	0	0	0	37	37	0	140	137	3	49	43	6	ტ	No Of Habitations Where Ongoing Schemes
_	0	)	<u> </u>	) -	<u>.</u>	0	   	0	47	46	1		407	8	399	0		0	39	36	3	919	901	18	1079	955	124	2	Net Habitations
0	0	169	c	100	180	0	0	이	112384	112297	87	10000	238963	5519	233444	0	o	0	99647	91996	7651	526365	479224	47141	597912	482050	115862	3642	
0	0	220	<u>_</u>	22.0	720	0	0	0	146099	145986	113	2000	310652	7175	303477	0	0	0	129541	119595	9946	684274	622991	61283	777286	626665	150621	4735	Design population = 1.3*Present population
8565	7000		8365	7000	7000		8565	7000		8565	7000			8565	7000		8565	7000		8565	7000	-	8565	7000		8565	7000		Average per capita cost of schemes to covered with such habitations
0.00	0.00	15.40	0.00	0.40	15.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	12511.61	12503.70	7.91	1100	21857 93	614.54	21243.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	10939.53	10243.31	696.22	57648.99	53359.18	4289.81	64217.33	53673.86	10543.47	331.45	Cost of schemes coverage (In Lakhs)
0	೭	0	<u>c</u>	2 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	ç	<b>&gt;</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Minimum Enroute Habitations Required to be covered with such schemes
0	0	0	c	, c	ا مار	9	0	0	0	0	0		-   -	0	0	0	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Additional cost for coverage of Enroute Habitations
0.00	0.00	15.40	0.00	10.40	1000	0 00	0.00	0.00	12511.61	12503.70	7.91	4.007.30	34857 03	614.54	21243.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	10939.53	10243.31	696.22	57648.99	53359.18	4289.81	64217.33	53673.86	10543.47	331.45	Total cost (In Lakhs)

22763.97	.0	0	22763.97	8565	5 265779	5 204445	115	85	200	0	200	Flouride	PRADESH	101
14521.08	0	0	14521.08	7000	2 207444	159572	154	108	262	205	57	Arsenic	UTTAR PRADESH	100
101.71		0	101.71		8 1453	1 1118	<u></u>	0	1	1	0		TRIPURA Total	99
0.00	0		0.00	8565	0 0	0		0	0	0	0	Flouride	TRIPURA	98
101.71		0	101.71		8 1453	1 1118		0		1	0	Arsenic	TRIPURA	97
144673.98		0	144673.98		1 1689130	1299331	955	86	1041	0	1041		TELANGANA Total	96
144673.98	0	0	144673.98	8565	1689130	5 1299331	955	86	1041		1041	Flouride	TELANGANA	95
			0.00		0 0	0			0	0	0	Arsenic	TELANGANA	2
0.00	0	0	0.00		0 0	0 0		0	0	0	0		Total	ස
0.00	0	0	0.00	8565	0	0		0	0	0	0	Flouride	TAMIL NADU	92
			0.00				· <del>·····</del>				0	Arsenic	TAMIL NADU	91
											0		SIKKIM Total	90
0.00	0	0	0.00	8565	0	0	<del></del> :	0	0	0	0	Flouride	SIKKIM	89
0.00							-				0	Arsenic	SIKKIM	88
332398.83	0	0.	332398.83	•	3880897	3 2985305	4893	1959	6852	2	6850		RAJASTHAN Total	87
332398.83	0	0	332398.83	8565	5 3880897	3 2985305	4893	1956	6849		6849	Flouride	RAJASTHAN	86
				7000			·		3	2	1	Arsenic	RAJASTHAN	85
91032.93		0	91032.93		9 1203019	0 925399	770	7	777	286	491		PUNJAB Total	22
37333.55	0		37333.55	8565	5 435885	2 335296	282			0	285	Flouride	BALNUG	83
	0		53699.38	i 7000	3 767134	8 590103	488	4	492	286	206	Arsenic	PUNJAB	83
0.00	0	0	0.00		0	0		0	0	0	0		PUDUCHERRY Total	83
0.00	0	0	0.00	8565	0 0	0 0		0	0	0	0	Flouride	PUDUCHERRY	80
0.00			0.00	7000	0 0	0		0	0	0	0	Arsenic	PUDUCHERRY	79
2409.93	0	0	2409.93	•	1 28147	3 21651	63	4	67	2	65		Total	78
2406.08	0	0	2406.08	8565	28092	21609	62	3	65	0	65	Flouride	ODISHA	77
3.85	0	0	3.85	7000	2 55	1 42		1	2	2	0	Arsenic	ODISHA	76
0.00	0	0	0.00		0	0 (		0	. 0	0	0		Total	75
0.00	0	0	0.00	8565	0	0		0	0	0	0	Flouride	NAGALAND	74
0.00		0	0.00		0	0		0	Ö	0	0	Arsenic	NAGALAND	73
0.00			0.00		0 0	0 (	l===	[0	0	0	0		MIZORAM Total	72
Total cost (in Lakhs)	Additional cost for coverage of Enroute Habitations	Minimum Enroute Habitations Required to be covered with such schemes	Cost of schemes coverage (In Lakhs)	Average per capita cost of schemes to covered with such habitations	Design population 1 1 2 1.3*Present s population	Present Affected Appopulation s in such habitations	Net Habitations	No Of Habitations Where Ongoing Schemes	Total Affected Habitations	As per lab testing Additional Total Habitations Affected affected as Habitations (>.01 & <.05)	Habitations Affected	As per lab testing Additional Habitations Habitations Affected affected as (>.05)	State	S N O

	훖	107	106	105	-	2	<u>ධ</u>			102	Ø. N.
Total	Total		WEST BENGAL	otal	UTTARAKHAND	ITTARAKHAND Elginida	UTTARAKHAND Arsenic	Total	PRADESH	102 UTTAR	State
		Flouride	Arsenic		· iodiido	Flourida	Arsenic				Contamination
15460	1997	1046	951	0		2	0	22011/1000		257	Habitations Affected
13031	7115	0	7115	0		5	0			205	As per lab testing Additional Habitations Habitations Affected Affected affected as Habitations (>.01 & <.05)
28491	9112	1046	8066	0		0	0			462	S
4839	1283	193	1090			2	0			193	No Of Habitations Where Ongoing Schemes
23652	7829	853	6976		c	- ·	0			269	Present Affected Population Habitations in such
23652 21718103	9467969	517509	8950460	0			0:		1	364017	
28233538	12308360	672762	11635598	0	٥	2 .	0	delenen	į	473223	Design per capita cost of population schemes schemes 1.3*Present with such population habitations
		8565	7000		8363	2500	7000		Olahana		Average per capita cost of schemes to covered with such habitations Cost of schemes schemes coverage (In Lakhs)
2149435.51	872113.93	57622.07	7000 814491.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	000		1	37285.05	
0	0	0	0	0	  C		0		(	0	Minimum Enroute Habitations Required coverage to be covered with such schemes
၉	0	0	0	_0	ļ_c			<del>Maximum</del>		0	Additional cost for coverage of Enroute Habitations
02149435.51	872113.93	57622.07	814491.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	200		200.00	37285 05	Total cost (in Lakhs)

This is national average considered after consulting various states for schemes under execution/planing.

Source: http://indiawater.nic.in

Report Printed On 02-09-2016 01:38:52