


No.W-11013/22/2009-CRSP
Government of India
Ministry of Rural Development
Department of Drinking Water Supply

12th Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan
CGO Complex, Lodi Road,
New Delhi-110003
Dated : 18.06.2010

Subject: Minutes of the 44th Meeting of the National Scheme Sanctioning Committee (NSSC) in respect to Total Sanitation Campaign under Central Rural Sanitation Programme held on 02.06.2010.

A copy of the Minutes of 44th meeting of the National Schemes Sanctioning Committee (NSSC) held under the Chairmanship of Secretary (DWS) on 2nd June, 2010 in the Chamber of Secretary (DWS), Room No.247/A-Wing, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, is forwarded herewith for information and record.

This has the approval of Secretary (DWS).


(KUMAR NITYANAND)
Section Officer (CRSP)
☎ 24361950

Enclosure : As above

Copy to :

1. PPS to Secretary (DWS)
2. PS to AS & FA
3. PS to Joint Secretary (DWS)
4. Mr. Harshawardhan Vasantao Sapkal Suwarna Nagar, Near Datta Temple, Buldhana-443001 (M.S.), Maharashtra.
5. Shri Satyen Chaturvedi, President, Rajasthan Voluntary Health Association, A12 B, Mahaveer Udyan Path, Bajaj Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
6. Shri Chandi Charan Dey, Coordinator, Water & Sanitation Ramakrishna Mission Lokshiksha-Parishad, Narendrapur, Kolkatta, West Bengal.
7. Dr. Bindeshwar Pathak, Sulabh International Social Service Organisation (SISSO) Sulabh Gram, Mahavir Enclave Palam Dabri Road, New Delhi-110045.
8. The Joint Secretary (ICDS), Ministry of Women & Child Development, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
9. The Joint Secretary (SSA), Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of Elementary Education, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
10. TSC Consultants

O/C
Issued
4-2010

MINUTES OF THE 44th NSSC MEETING HELD
ON 2nd JUNE, 2010

The 44th National Scheme Sanctioning Committee (NSSC) meeting was held in the office of Secretary (DWS), Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi under the chairpersonship of Smt. Rajwant Sandhu, Secretary, Department of Drinking Water Supply, Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India on 2nd June, 2010. The list of participants is given at **Annexure 1**.

Secretary (DWS) welcomed all the members and officials present in the meeting. Thereafter the regular items on the Agenda were taken-up.

Agenda items:

1. The minutes of the 43rd NSSC meeting held on 8th January, 2010 were circulated vide letter no. W-11013/32/2009-CRSP (Part), dated 17.02.2010 earlier. No comments were received from anyone in this regard. The Committee, therefore, confirmed the Minutes.

2. Regarding the progress of TSC implementation, Director (CRSP) informed the participants that NSSC is the highest committee for review of project proposals received under TSC. He welcomed Shri Satyen Chaturvedi, the new member of the committee. Director(CRSP) then made a presentation in this regard and informed the committee members that rural sanitation coverage in the country is now 65.89% as per progress reported by all the states through on line monitoring system this was 22% as per Census 2001. Till date, **6.69** crore households had been provided sanitation facilities through individual household toilets. **10.06** lakh school toilet units, **3, 37,052** anganwadi toilets, and **18,464** Community Sanitary Complexes had also been constructed under institutional sanitation facilities. The progress made was not sufficient however as there was still a gap of 5.51 crore IHHLs, 2.98 School Toilet units, 1, 27,862 Anganwadi Toilets and 15,239 Sanitary Complexes as per total project objectives. Performance against project objectives by Sikkim, Kerala, Mizoram, Himachal Pradesh, Tripura, Haryana and Gujarat is good whereas states like Manipur, Jammu & Kashmir, Bihar, Assam, Nagaland, Meghalaya and Jharkhand were lagging behind. 5 States namely Bihar, UP, Orissa, Rajasthan and Andhra Pradesh had to cover 53% of balance objective in respect of IHHLs. If these states registered good progress, our vision of universal rural sanitation coverage could be achieved early. Regarding the construction of School and Anganwadi Toilets, Govt. of India had set the goal to cover all the balance schools and Anganwadis with sanitation facilities by March 2011. Director(CRSP) also mentioned the new initiatives taken by the department in

his presentation. He stated that in 2009-10, for the first time more than 1.25 crore households had been provided sanitation facilities. TSC Guidelines had been amended to include Provision for ECOSAN and waterless urinals. IEC Guidelines 2010, Booklet for PRIs and Success story of Haryana has been released. This would provide reference material for workers of rural sanitation. Dir (CRSP) also mentioned that Planning Commission had taken up the TSC evaluation study and the report would be available by December, 2010. Also, the Department had selected Centre for Media Studies (CMS) for impact & sustainability study on NGP in 664 NGP-GPs from 56 districts of 12 States. The inception report has been submitted and the field survey with accepted tools would begin in June, 2010.

Dr. Bindeshwar Pathak, member NSSC observed that the progress in TSC was commendable and the positive impact of enhanced coverage was visible in terms of more clean villages. He commented the recent report by UN comparing the number of mobile phones in the country with the number of toilets observing that such comparisons had no meaning. He also felt that the time period mentioned in the new TSC Guidelines about the conversion of excreta to organic fertilizer in case of ECOSAN toilets and requested that a committee of experts from NEERI, IIT Roorkee and AIHPH Kolkata be constituted to study the linked aspect as sufficient studies were not available on this matter. This would facilitate the department to review the scientific aspect of human excreta management w.r.t. Ecosan which the Department has referred to in the TSC guidelines. The suggestion was agreed to by NSSC. It was also suggested that the existing ECOSAN projects in Tamil Nadu should also be evaluated.

Shri Chandi Charan Dey, Member NSSC mentioned that IMIS system under TSC is best of its kind for monitoring quantitative data. He suggested that qualitative data like quality of construction and usage of sanitation facilities should also be collected through on line system. Suitable modification to the system may be carried out to capture the information. He also mentioned that expenditure trend by States suggest that more expenditure is being incurred on hardware component. Expenditure on IEC was rather low. He stressed that activities under IEC should also be monitored. He also said that growth is not even in states as presented by Director (CRSP). We therefore needed to develop state specific strategy for the states which are lagging behind and need to be supported to improve to pace of sanitation coverage.

Secretary, DWS mentioned that while we may appreciate the increase in sanitation coverage in the recent years, the balance work under TSC was huge and special attention would be needed to complete the goals set under the campaign. She requested the states present to always check data for school

coverage with respect to DISE data and to ensure that all schools have toilet and water supply facilities. She urged that all schools should be covered with sanitation and water facilities well with in March 2011. States were advised to ensure that revision in toilet units in schools is only proposed for those schools which existed prior to 2006-07 as SSA was providing funds for toilets from 2007 onwards. It should, therefore, be ensured that there is no duplication of allocation of funds while proposing revision of school toilet units and DWSMs should play an important role in this process. It was also advised that revision in the proposal should be based on adequacy of requirement and not exactly in proportion to the strength as per mathematical calculations as the space constraint is always required to be taken into consideration. She mentioned that there has been a constraint of fund allocation under TSC; therefore, the existing objectives under TSC should be completed before requesting for revision of the projects. Proposals in the NSSC shall, therefore, be considered based on present progress against the existing objectives. Capital intensive options like Community Sanitary Complexes shall not be considered at present. She emphasized ensuring correct data entry and reporting so as to have a real picture of sanitation coverage. Revision in BPL IHHL should only be sought if the state is in a position to confirm that the number does not exceed the no BPL families accepted by Department of Rural Development. States should use their own resources for Toilets in Anganwadi located in private buildings and frame their own policy in this regard.

3. Revised proposals from Gujarat

The Govt. of Gujarat had submitted 22 proposals for re-revision of districts projects. NSSC examined the proposals. Principal Secretary, Rural Development, Govt. of Gujarat informed that IHHL-BPL targets had not been increased. As a matter of fact, the total BPL numbers shall marginally get reduced after revision of all the districts was taken into account. Increase in APL has been proposed which does not have any financial implication on project outlay. Toilets in un-covered Aganwadis only in Government buildings are being proposed. In Kheda district, separate school toilets unit for Boys and Girls in co-educational schools had been proposed resulting in higher number of school toilet units. All other school toilet units proposed are the bare minimum requirement. The committee discussed and approved the revisions proposed. No increase in Community Sanitary Complexes was agreed to. Reduction in numbers wherever proposed was approved.

The committee approved the revised proposals for these districts as per above discussions. The details of items approved for these districts are placed at Annexure 3.01 to 3.22. The summary of physical & financial outlays of the projects is given in the tables below:

Physical Components							
Sl No.	District		HHHL APL	HHHL BPL	School toilets	AW Toilets	Community Complexes
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
1	AHMEDABAD	Original	83536	76780	917	315	50
		Revised	169360	80192	917	473	35
2	ANAND	Original	74240	82760	515	243	52
		Revised	65359	72058	515	187	52
3	AMRELI	Original	56908	63014	678	732	52
		Revised	85978	67802	1131	672	52
4	BANASKANTHA	Original	180809	120674	2043	1279	62
		Revised	248418	126536	2892	1299	62
5	BHARUCH	Original	36192	62875	830	883	72
		Revised	66044	78463	701	883	72
6	BHAVNAGAR	Original	59033	31305	799	1088	54
		Revised	87900	31305	485	1088	54
7	DAHOD	Original	34000	124000	1172	1897	87
		Revised	63693	134798	1174	1897	87
8	DANG	Original	7958	27900	47	280	40
		Revised	16143	27900	91	302	40
9	GANDHINAGAR	Original	20984	34830	598	757	50
		Revised	134168	13830	327	477	50
10	JAMNAGAR	Original	121757	45478	1419	691	150
		Revised	121757	45478	1419	691	150
11	JUNAGARH	Original	130493	90437	982	510	50
		Revised	155606	56444	1216	510	50
12	KACHCHH	Original	75315	30183	529	315	84
		Revised	172755	45596	743	315	84
13	KHEDA	Original	95209	104522	764	1400	81
		Revised	158858	140212	1704	1949	81
14	MEHASANA	Original	191608	57207	268	1315	57
		Revised	175540	61499	706	970	53
15	NAVSARI	Original	90111	73610	592	1118	79
		Revised	91094	75015	1347	1156	45

16	PACHAMAHAL	Original	39218	159912	2044	1047	61
		Revised	223369	156835	2086	1451	61
17	PATAN	Original	94818	92051	795	780	58
		Revised	122970	58741	760	681	58
18	PORBANDAR	Original	29427	14945	180	90	64
		Revised	49264	17024	472	110	64
19	RAJKOT	Original	123743	130384	1713	633	71
		Revised	187031	78753	2364	820	71
20	SURAT	Original	107237	160727	1096	1537	51
		Revised	155542	158797	1147	2124	51
21	SURENDRA NAGAR	Original	101480	55834	781	1298	55
		Revised	181248	83235	496	342	55
22	VADODARA	Original	124181	139915	1104	1956	50
		Revised	243750	140958	1684	2339	50

Financial requirements

Sl No.	District		Central Share	State Share	Beneficiary Share	Total
1	2		3	4	5	6
1	AHMEDABAD	Original	1043.94	644.96	656.89	2345.79
		Revised	1028.80	651.64	675.75	2356.19
2	ANAND	Original	1423.88	652.02	241.11	2317.01
		Revised	1259.53	573.72	204.48	2037.73
3	AMRELI	Original	889.77	445.27	412.95	1747.99
		Revised	1064.08	514.74	427.31	2006.13
4	BANASKANTHA	Original	2397.08	916.62	449.85	3763.55
		Revised	2485.42	980.93	474.83	3941.18
5	BHARUCH	Original	1060.59	463.74	153.45	1677.78
		Revised	1343.53	586.50	214.16	2144.19
6	BHAVNAGAR	Original	707.07	255.73	59.06	1021.86
		Revised	644.40	234.40	61.84	940.64
7	DAHOD	Original	2050.67	732.84	395.91	3179.42
		Revised	2144.37	794.59	441.07	3380.03
8	DANG	Original	465.93	202.38	75.48	743.79
		Revised	451.04	200.37	77.38	728.79
9	GANDHINAGAR	Original	859.11	347.21	124.31	1330.63
		Revised	375.12	146.63	50.15	571.90
10	JAMNAGAR	Original	1117.52	445.36	173.49	1736.37
		Revised	1122.80	445.36	173.49	1741.65

11	JUNAGARH	Original	1771.82	719.86	299.59	2791.27
		Revised	1309.54	500.07	199.26	2008.87
12	KACHCHH	Original	667.83	262.90	114.98	1045.71
		Revised	872.26	369.44	161.22	1402.92
13	KHEDA	Original	1584.05	513.67	360.52	2458.24
		Revised	2341.33	849.61	479.02	3669.96
14	MEHASANA	Original	980.16	323.18	218.42	1521.76
		Revised	1101.00	374.58	230.50	1706.08
15	NAVSARI	Original	1083.32	418.49	190.67	1692.48
		Revised	1161.17	456.27	189.71	1807.15
16	PACHAMAHAL	Original	2730.29	1048.73	579.27	4358.29
		Revised	2730.94	1047.08	588.48	4366.50
17	PATAN	Original	1746.77	711.65	329.34	2787.76
		Revised	1134.65	446.69	220.70	1802.04
18	PORBANDAR	Original	305.72	134.75	94.84	535.31
		Revised	386.46	169.60	103.04	659.10
19	RAJKOT	Original	2315.07	963.85	443.79	3722.71
		Revised	1623.38	637.99	278.59	2539.96
20	SURAT	Original	2919.64	1127.50	559.63	4606.77
		Revised	2971.84	1139.22	553.84	4664.90
21	SURENDRA NAGAR	Original	1065.78	399.65	101.36	1566.79
		Revised	1456.24	577.01	199.56	2232.81
22	VADODARA	Original	1849.51	877.54	825.12	3552.17
		Revised	1995.00	936.06	835.72	3766.78

4. Revised proposal from Karnataka

The Govt. of Karnataka had submitted 15 proposals for revision. Secretary, Govt. of Karnataka explained that minor increase in various components has been requested based on actual requirement in case of APLs and BPLs. The committee agreed to sanction revised BPL objectives except in Bidar, Kolar and Koppal districts. Chamarajnagar was not considered for revision in APL and BPL IHHL as the numbers needed to be confirmed by Secy, Govt. of Karnataka. The revision in school & Anganwadi toilets was approved as it was for providing sanitation facility separately for Boys and Girls in Schools and for new Anganwadis identified to be without toilet facilities. Secy, Govt. of Karnataka confirmed that the schools toilet units proposed were not covered under SSA as yet. The revision in BPL IHHL number in case of Hassan was confirmed to be 64,134 by Secy, Govt. of Karnataka. No increase in CSC was agreed to as mentioned earlier.

The details of items approved for the districts are placed at Annexure 4.01-4.15. The summary of physical & financial outlays of the projects is given in the tables below:

Physical Components							
Sl No.	District		IHHL APL	IIHHL BPL	School toilets	AW Toilets	Community Complexes
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
1	BAGALKOT	Original	109155	62160	745	1118	58
		Revised	175385	74926	745	1118	58
2	BELGAUM	Original	491202	97810	2005	1617	50
		Revised	501172	196594	3290	1962	50
3	BIDAR	Original	109155	58384	1024	840	51
		Revised	125768	58384	1426	1165	51
4	BIJAPUR	Original	108608	127172	1098	1198	50
		Revised	108608	127172	2129	1793	50
5	CHAMRAJNAGARA	Original	28797	110188	269	1070	50
		Revised	28797	110188	369	1269	50
6	CHICKABALLAPUR	Original	73745	37147	941	47	12
		Revised	36479	89907	941	42	12
7	DAVANGERE	Original	162476	90808	905	972	50
		Revised	129754	122530	1385	972	50
8	DHARWAD	Original	76050	43596	620	586	25
		Revised	60267	53596	930	1051	25
9	HASSAN	Original	216283	59939	1902	505	50
		Revised	216283	64134	1902	1173	50
10	KODAGU	Original	18095	33996	96	260	50
		Revised	18095	38838	179	287	50
11	KOLAR	Original	83210	43183	981	99	12
		Revised	83210	43183	981	64	12
12	KOPPAL	Original	101815	60869	670	787	50
		Revised	177618	60869	870	987	50
13	MANDYA	Original	148152	110182	1517	672	50
		Revised	148152	128782	1631	914	50
14	MYSORE	Original	0	86804	1176	413	54
		Revised	42639	160601	1059	656	54
15	SHIMOGA	Original	40151	99428	951	961	50
		Revised	40151	99428	1616	986	50

Financial requirements

Sl No.	District		Central Share	State Share	Beneficiary Share	Total
1	2		3	4	5	6
1	BAGALKOT	Original	1449.65	607.11	202.92	2259.68
		Revised	1641.14	696.47	241.22	2578.83
2	BELGAUM	Original	2013.74	796.38	333.97	3144.09
		Revised	3885.57	1622.90	643.32	6151.79
3	BIDAR	Original	1377.62	584.20	303.65	2265.47
		Revised	1724.99	688.17	323.85	2737.01
4	BIJAPUR	Original	2541.09	1101.01	439.47	4081.57
		Revised	2793.30	1193.55	439.47	4426.32
5	CHAMRAJNAGARA	Original	2161.58	890.37	384.83	3436.78
		Revised	2236.96	913.44	386.75	3537.15
6	CHICKABALLAPUR	Original	1022.95	437.71	130.06	1590.72
		Revised	1818.58	808.06	288.34	2914.98
7	DAVANGERE	Original	1602.39	687.25	291.20	2580.84
		Revised	2526.91	1042.12	420.77	3989.80
8	DHARWAD	Original	1015.87	399.02	157.46	1572.35
		Revised	1145.30	442.03	157.46	1744.79
9	HASSAN	Original	1422.16	533.28	160.27	2115.71
		Revised	1547.21	582.35	172.86	2302.42
10	KODAGU	Original	741.34	283.98	125.24	1150.56
		Revised	960.08	359.84	152.41	1472.33
11	KOLAR	Original	1170.54	503.83	150.93	1825.30
		Revised	1169.32	503.31	150.93	1823.56
12	KOPPAL	Original	1175.06	578.95	214.29	1968.30
		Revised	1401.98	643.47	220.48	2265.93
13	MANDYA	Original	2530.73	1072.92	460.89	4064.54
		Revised	3144.33	1296.28	537.32	4977.93
14	MYSORE	Original	1411.33	569.83	271.48	2252.64
		Revised	2892.62	1192.59	548.87	4634.08
15	SHIMOGA	Original	1568.11	483.72	347.54	2399.37
		Revised	1662.09	524.00	347.54	2533.63

5. Revised proposals from Maharashtra

The Govt. of Maharashtra had submitted 10 proposals for re-revision. TSC coordinator, Govt. of Maharashtra explained that minor increase/decrease in various components has been requested based on actual requirement in case of APLs and BPLs. As a result, while APL IHHLs shall actually get reduced,

there shall be a minor increase in total BPL IHHLs sought. The Committee approved the revision of IHHL-BPL targets on confirmation from the rep, Govt. of Maharashtra that the numbers proposed were not more than the list accepted by Department of Rural Development, MoRD, GoI. The committee did not agree to the proposed increase in community sanitary complexes as per decision taken earlier. As regards the school toilet units, the increase was considered to be on the higher side. The State representative agreed to review the proposals in view of directives given regarding adequacy of toilets and non coverage through SSA. The revised proposals as and when received would be considered in the future NSSC meeting. The revision in Anganwadi toilets was approved as it was for providing sanitation facility in new Anganwadis in Govt. buildings identified to be without toilet facilities. Details of items approved for these districts are placed at Annexure 5.01-5.10. The summary of physical & financial outlays of the projects is given in the tables below:

Physical Components

Sl No.	District		IHHL APL	IHHL BPL	School toilets	AW Toilets	Community Complexes
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
1	AHMED NAGAR	Original	304214	134247	2800	3055	50
		Revised	304214	134247	2800	3646	50
2	AURANGABAD	Original	191820	106858	1787	1557	154
		Revised	174106	110190	1787	1810	154
3	BHANDARA	Original	65118	75857	1347	983	45
		Revised	39555	93065	1347	1081	45
4	BULDANA	Original	117275	208512	2730	1505	70
		Revised	117275	208512	2730	1833	70
5	CHANDRAPUR	Original	86131	110743	2022	2007	66
		Revised	148429	143479	2022	1833	66
6	HINGOLI	Original	146643	52157	1797	910	200
		Revised	146643	52157	1797	910	200
7	LATUR	Original	200093	74260	2556	1017	115
		Revised	200093	74260	2556	1017	115
8	NASHIK	Original	339745	151355	2072	2072	54
		Revised	249900	202284	2072	3427	54
9	SOLAPUR	Original	353690	167000	4045	1911	52
		Revised	291036	167757	4045	3378	52
10	THANE	Original	340500	235922	4883	2540	300
		Revised	299502	232179	4883	2616	300

Financial requirements

Sl No.	District		Central Share	State Share	Beneficiary Share	Total
1	2		3	4	5	6
1	AHMED NAGAR	Original	2352.17	837.67	531.54	3721.38
		Revised	2519.46	886.69	545.54	3951.69
2	AURANGABAD	Original	2207.04	939.40	410.75	3557.19
		Revised	2555.21	1044.35	443.35	4042.91
3	BHANDARA	Original	1311.03	441.11	279.49	2031.63
		Revised	1791.06	623.81	355.72	2770.59
4	BULDANA	Original	2641.59	1067.63	380.27	4089.49
		Revised	2875.09	1134.05	404.27	4413.41
5	CHANDRAPUR	Original	2045.90	821.95	388.45	3256.30
		Revised	2625.10	1072.04	486.66	4183.80
6	HINGOLI	Original	1471.55	577.15	191.16	2239.86
		Revised	1527.09	592.04	195.16	2314.29
7	LATUR	Original	1736.43	708.81	221.73	2666.97
		Revised	1797.08	723.99	221.73	2742.80
8	NASHIK	Original	2159.78	735.56	506.86	3402.20
		Revised	3682.90	1299.03	694.50	5676.43
9	SOLAPUR	Original	2778.87	1182.46	415.75	4377.08
		Revised	3097.99	1277.01	433.69	4808.69
10	THANE	Original	4284.98	1418.69	825.97	6529.64
		Revised	4457.07	1457.95	844.56	6759.58

6. Revised and new proposals from Mizoram

The Govt. of Mizoram had submitted 8 proposals for re-revision. State representative informed that last year re-survey was done. The revisions in IHHL-BPL objectives were due to creation of new households. The Committee approved the revision of IHHL-BPL objectives on confirmation from the rep, Govt. of Mizoram that the numbers proposed are not more than the list accepted by Department of Rural Development. As regards increase in number of school toilet units, the committee observed that the increase proposed is on the higher side. It was decided that Director(CRSP) may visit Mizoram to review the requirement based on accepted norms of adequacy and non coverage under SSA. State Govt. may thereafter submit revised proposals for consideration of NSSC. The revision in Anganwadi toilets was approved as it was for providing sanitation facility in new Anganwadis in Govt. buildings identified without toilet facilities. Committee decided not to sanction additional community sanitary complexes. Details of items approved for these districts are placed at

Annexure 6.01-5.08. The summary of physical & financial outlays of the projects is given in the tables below:

Physical Components

SI No.	District		IHHL APL	IHHL BPL	School toilets	AW Toilets	Community Complexes
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
1	AIZAWL	Original	2804	7402	634	275	60
		Revised	3497	16525	634	456	60
2	CHAMPHAI	Original	1248	4708	718	183	54
		Revised	1949	11077	718	282	54
3	KOLASIB	Original	0	6366	242	71	20
		Revised	720	8833	242	97	20
4	LAWNGTLAI	Original	2773	11397	396	94	149
		Revised	2773	16544	396	141	149
5	LUNGLEI	Original	4665	16224	628	97	150
		Revised	4665	19280	628	218	150
6	MAMIT	Original	2000	4221	352	100	50
		Revised	2000	5459	352	222	50
7	SAIHA	Original	567	5000	169	0	0
		Revised	567	7238	169	10	0
8	SERCHHIP	Original	2804	4361	80	92	77
		Revised	2804	4947	80	117	77

Financial requirements

SI No.	District		Central Share	State Share	Beneficiary Share	Total
1	2		3	4	5	6
1	AIZAWL	Original	287.13	95.38	31.38	413.89
		Revised	551.65	181.25	64.60	797.50
2	CHAMPHAI	Original	337.72	120.09	34.08	491.89
		Revised	535.03	183.38	58.79	777.20
3	KOLASIB	Original	177.46	61.19	27.85	266.50
		Revised	267.08	89.31	39.02	395.41
4	LAWNGTLAI	Original	343.28	141.40	58.05	542.73
		Revised	511.58	194.82	79.09	785.49
5	LUNGLEI	Original	483.96	162.52	70.70	717.18
		Revised	610.20	197.62	85.67	893.49

6	MAMIT	Original	235.68	94.08	30.78	360.54
		Revised	280.23	108.66	35.89	424.78
7	SAIHA	Original	133.75	46.68	18.00	198.43
		Revised	211.74	71.24	27.91	310.89
8	SERCHHIP	Original	151.17	43.80	27.28	222.25
		Revised	183.19	53.36	30.24	266.79

7. Revised proposals from West Bengal

The Govt. of West Bengal had submitted 19 proposals for re-revision. Secretary, Govt. of West Bengal explained that increase in various components has been requested based on actual requirement in case of APLs and BPLs. The committee agreed to sanction revised APL/BPL objectives except in Bankura, Darjeeling and Purulia districts as balance of IHHL required as per project objectives is quite high. Secretary, Govt. of West Bengal confirmed that the numbers proposed were not more than the list accepted by Department of Rural Development. As regards the school toilet units, the increase was considered to be on the higher side. The State representative agreed to review the proposals in view of advice given regarding adequacy of toilets and non coverage of the same through SSA. The revised proposals as and when received shall be considered in the NSSC meeting. The revision in Anganwadi toilets was approved as it was for providing sanitation facility in new Anganwadis in Govt. buildings identified to be without toilet facilities. It was confirmed by State Secretary that the revision proposed does not exceed the number of sanctioned Anganwadis in Govt. buildings in respective districts. The Committee decided not to sanction additional community sanitary complexes.

The details of items approved for this district are placed at Annexure 7.01-7.19. The summary of physical & financial outlays of the projects is given in the tables below:

Physical Components

Sl No.	District		IHHL APL	IHHL BPL	School toilets	AW Toilets	Community Complexes
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
1	BANKURA	Original	235001	198152	7544	3299	50
		Revised	333832	198152	7544	4130	50
2	BARDHAMAN	Original	264196	489587	9891	2834	133
		Revised	341920	700047	9891	7980	133

3	BIRBHUM	Original	297890	219579	5617	2326	50
		Revised	299893	338989	5617	3816	50
4	COOCH BEHAR	Original	254422	181902	3715	1556	50
		Revised	254422	335236	3715	1718	50
5	DAKSHIN DINAJPUR	Original	121427	137723	3712	1147	50
		Revised	194577	182621	3712	2642	50
6	DARJEELING	Original	22810	66648	1784	348	50
		Revised	130066	66648	1784	408	50
7	HOOGLY	Original	185261	251337	7721	3160	53
		Revised	195510	271737	6821	4168	53
8	HOWRAH	Original	133309	191889	5195	2901	51
		Revised	143309	231860	5195	3586	51
9	JALPAIGURI	Original	163790	285540	6578	1556	50
		Revised	203523	372999	6578	5428	50
10	MALDA	Original	262736	276723	6385	2345	50
		Revised	270208	452324	6385	7956	50
11	MURSHIDABAD	Original	450315	461660	10260	4003	50
		Revised	506963	702442	10260	7012	50
12	NADIA	Original	265000	292576	6974	3268	50
		Revised	278335	346696	6974	6620	50
13	NORTH 24 PARGANAS	Original	220048	289501	11158	3966	51
		Revised	225080	361462	11158	4466	51
14	PACHIM MEDINIPUR	Original	308463	452212	16498	4309	50
		Revised	432096	509496	16498	5825	50
15	PURBI MEDNIPUR	Original	29049	332914	9726	3696	172
		Revised	32617	392371	9726	5969	172
16	PURULIA	Original	211012	210168	7542	2512	50
		Revised	306933	210168	7542	4047	50
17	SILIGURI	Original	22182	52041	935	400	30
		Revised	25377	59536	935	1393	30
18	SOUTH 24 PARGANAS	Original	413884	483845	8940	5448	50
		Revised	521192	628712	8940	5448	50
19	UTTAR DINAJPUR	Original	243306	155418	4806	1556	50
		Revised	301645	257662	4806	1556	50

Financial requirements

Sl No.	District		Central Share	State Share	Beneficiary Share	Total
1	2		3	4	5	6
1	BANKURA	Original	4210.52	1774.72	1074.88	7060.12
		Revised	4749.88	1936.26	1161.43	7847.57
2	BARDHAMAN	Original	3650.42	1307.70	1217.73	6175.85
		Revised	6870.08	2796.61	1849.11	11515.80
3	BIRBHUM	Original	2930.29	1179.96	1151.17	5261.42
		Revised	6087.84	2402.55	1656.33	10146.72
4	COOCH BEHAR	Original	1379.67	505.36	335.75	2220.78
		Revised	4838.04	1896.73	903.75	7638.52
5	DAKSHIN DINAJPUR	Original	1637.17	655.24	263.95	2556.36
		Revised	3063.72	1183.70	465.08	4712.50
6	DARJEELING	Original	1437.70	606.65	212.23	2256.58
		Revised	2087.36	785.45	274.23	3147.04
7	HOOGLY	Original	2649.51	1037.64	1856.54	5543.69
		Revised	3134.24	1222.49	1972.52	6329.25
8	HOWRAH	Original	1510.04	594.15	354.25	2458.44
		Revised	2487.96	982.04	502.16	3972.16
9	JALPAIGURI	Original	3352.01	1333.85	875.59	5561.45
		Revised	6265.49	2401.26	1260.37	9927.12
10	MALDA	Original	4058.42	1695.48	775.62	6529.52
		Revised	8826.42	3547.47	1520.32	13894.21
11	MURSHIDABAD	Original	5314.86	2173.80	1986.37	9475.03
		Revised	12425.28	4828.33	3010.95	20264.56
12	NADIA	Original	2176.66	756.69	1723.82	4657.17
		Revised	3372.53	1274.71	1945.00	6592.24
13	NORTH 24 PARGANAS	Original	2314.30	1429.52	1640.48	5384.30
		Revised	5612.33	2142.91	1925.11	9680.35
14	PACHIM MEDINIPUR	Original	3423.24	1384.03	500.40	5307.67
		Revised	4511.08	1863.31	814.25	7188.64
15	PURBI MEDNIPUR	Original	2323.86	827.67	553.51	3705.04
		Revised	3889.47	1431.23	781.88	6102.58
16	PURULIA	Original	5080.37	2152.20	760.03	7992.60
		Revised	6389.47	2519.10	880.12	9788.69
17	SILIGURI	Original	927.87	382.86	204.95	1515.68
		Revised	1331.12	520.55	252.64	2104.31

18	SOUTH 24 PARGANAS	Original	3262.43	1240.23	1703.89	6206.55
		Revised	7015.88	2656.16	2178.49	11850.53
19	UTTAR DINAJPUR	Original	2549.69	1060.07	563.26	4173.02
		Revised	4457.22	1886.53	942.25	7286.00

8. Revised proposals from Tamilnadu

The Govt. of Tamilnadu had submitted one proposal for re-revision. No representative from the State Govt. was present for the meeting. The committee agreed to sanction the minor revision proposed in IHHL-BPL objectives for the district of Tiruvannamalai. The details of items approved for this district are placed at Annexure 8.01. The summary of physical & financial outlays of the project is given in the tables below:

Physical Components

SI No.	District		IHHL APL	IHHL BPL	School toilets	AW Toilets	Community Complexes
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
1	TIRUVANNAMALAI	Original	356665	187566	3635	1263	70
		Revised	356665	209116	3635	1263	70

Financial requirements

SI No.	District		Central Share	State Share	Beneficiary Share	Total
1	2		3	4	5	6
1	TIRUVANNAMALAI	Original	2005.94	1277.67	1233.58	4517.19
		Revised	2333.99	1429.72	1298.23	5061.94

9. Revised proposal from Chattisgarh

The Govt. of Chattisgarh had submitted 1 proposal for re-revision. Shri Hingorani, Chief Engineer, PHED, Govt. of Chhattisgarh intimated that the revision proposed was as per actual requirement. The revision in school toilets was approved as it was for providing sanitation facility separately for Boys and Girls in schools without such facilities. Chief Engineer, Govt. of Chhattisgarh confirmed that the schools toilet units proposed had not been earlier covered under SSA.

Chief Engineer, Govt. of Chhattisgarh also informed that since Dantewada district is Left Wing Extremist affected district so it should be treated as difficult and accordingly additional Rs.500/- per BPL-IHHL should be provided by GoI. The committee did not agree to sanction additional amount for BPL-IHHL as it was beyond the scope of NSSC. Secretary, DWS however agreed that the Department shall move a separate proposal for LWE districts with respect to higher incentive for IHHL for BPLs. Details of items approved for these districts are placed at Annexure 9.01. The summary of physical & financial outlays of the projects is given in the tables below:

Physical Components

Sl No.	District		IHHL APL	IHHL BPL	School toilets	AW Toilets	Community Complexes
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
1	DANTEWADA	Original	30459	84452	1760	1020	20
		Revised	30459	84452	2435	1020	20

Financial requirements

Sl No.	District		Central Share	State Share	Beneficiary Share	Total
1	2		3	4	5	6
1	DANTEWADA	Original	1987.73	949.82	301.30	3238.85
		Revised	2082.23	990.32	301.30	3373.85

10. Revised proposals from Jammu & Kashmir

The Govt. of Jammu & Kashmir had submitted one new proposal for bifurcated district. No representative from the State Govt. was present for the meeting. The committee agreed to sanction the project for new district with the condition that the objectives from the original district i.e. Doda shall also be correspondingly reduced so that there is no additional financial implication. The state would be requested to get their original district proposals revised downwards due to bifurcation of districts.

The details of items approved for this district are placed at Annexure 10.01. The summary of physical & financial outlays of the projects is given in the tables below:

New Proposals							
Physical Components							
SI No.	District		IHHL APL	IHHL BPL	School toilets	AW Toilets	Community Complexes
1	2		3	4	5	6	7
1	RAMBAN		6850	15926	1014	25	34

Financial requirements							
SI No.	District		Central Share	State Share	Beneficiary Share	Total	
1	2		3	4	5	6	
1	RAMBAN		686.22	242.85	69.53	998.60	

11. Consideration of proposal for use of funds allocated under IHHL sub head for using under Solid and Liquid Waste Management sanction under TSC project District Ludhiana:

State representative, Govt. of Punjab informed that under TSC Project of District Ludhiana, a total provision of Rs. 1837.31 lakh has been approved. Out of a provision of Rs. 1135.55 lakh for construction of 45,422 IHHLs, a total of 43,422 IHHLs have already been constructed by the beneficiaries in convergence with TSC and at present only 2,000 IHHLs were required to be provided to BPL families. The incentive amount under TSC has not been disbursed this worked out to Rs. 976 lakh of which central share was approx. Rs. 6.51 crore. Zila Parishad, Ludhiana based on resolution by Gram Panchayats proposed to utilise the available funds for renovation of ponds under head Solid and Liquid Waste Management.

Shri Satyen Chaturvedi Member NSSC observed that innovative proposals for waste management should be encouraged. Rep Govt. of Punjab mentioned that IHHL availability had already been checked by concerned BDOs and progress accordingly reported on TSC on line monitoring system. He also mentioned that such proposals needed to be supported as SLWM is an important component of TSC and no Gram Panchayat can be considered clean unless the drainage and disposal of solid and liquid wastes is satisfactory.

Sri Chandi Charan Dey, Member NSSC observed that such proposals should not come to the NSSC as it could not be a forum for approving diversion of funds from one component of the District Project to another. The funds had already been distributed to the GPs and the GPs should utilize these to ensure total sanitation/cleanliness of their villages. This view was endorsed by the participants.

Shri Satyen Chaturvedi, Member NSSC raised the issue of hard rocky area of Rajasthan mentioning that digging of pits was not possible and as a result community was reportedly constructing toilets without pits . He sought advice of NSSC on the issue. Secretary /DWS requested Shri Chandi Charan Dey to visit the affected areas for appropriate solution. Shri Satyen Chaturvedi also requested that NYK in Rajasthan be involved to accelerate implementation of TSC. Secretary(DWS) mentioned that NYK had been engaged in Bihar on a pilot basis and if the results were positive, they could be considered for association with the department in respect of other States also.

There being no other point, the meeting ended with thanks to the chair.

National Scheme Sanctioning Committee

Smt. Rajwant Sandhu, Secretary, Department of Drinking Water Supply , Ministry of Rural Development ,Government of India, New Delhi	Chairperson
Dr. Bindeshwar Pathak, Chairman, Sulabh International Social Service Organisation, Mahavir Enclave, Palam Dabri Road, New Delhi	Member
Shri Chandi Charan Dey, Ram Krishna Mission, Narendrapur, Kolkota	Member
Shri Satyen Chaturvedi, RVHA, A-12-B, Bajaj Nagar, Jaipur	Member
Shri J.S.Mathur, Joint Secretary(JM) , Department of Drinking Water Supply , Ministry of Rural Development , Government of India, New Delhi	Member
Shri J.Bose, DS(Fin.), MoRD, Government of India, New Delhi	Rep. of AS & FA

II Other Government of India officials

1. Shri Vijay Mittal, Director(CRSP), Department of Drinking Water Supply, New Delhi
2. Shri A.K.Saha, Assistant Advisor, Department of Drinking Water Supply, New Delhi
3. Shri Om Prakash Agarwal, PSA, Department of Drinking Water Supply, New Delhi
4. Shri Kumar Nityanand, SO (CRSP), Department of Drinking Water Supply, New Delhi
5. Shri Junaid Ahmed Usmani, Consultant M & E, Department of Drinking Water Supply, New Delhi

III. State Government officials

Karnataka

1.	Shri P.Ravi Kumar	Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Karnataka
2.	Dr.M.R.Ekanthappa	Addl. Director, KRWSSA, PDPR, Govt. of Karnataka

Gujarat

1.	Smt. Rita Teotia	Pr. Secy, Rural Development Deptt., Govt. of Gujarat
----	------------------	---

West Bengal

1.	Shri Trilochan Singh	Pr. Secy, P & RD Deptt., Govt. of West Bengal
----	----------------------	--

Mizoram

1.	Shri C.Lallung Hnema	SE, PHED, Mizoram
----	----------------------	-------------------

Maharashtra

1.	Shri Sudhakar Shinde	State Co-ordinator, TSC, Govt. of Maharashtra
----	----------------------	--

Chhattisgarh

1.	Shri H.K.Hingorani	Chief Engineer, PHED, Govt. of Chhattisgarh
----	--------------------	--

Punjab

1.	Shri N.K.Dhir	State Co-ordinator, TSC, Govt. of Punjab
2.	Shri A.K.Soni	SE, Water supply and Sanitation Circle, Ludhiana
3.	Er. Mohd Irshad	District Programme Specialist, Water Supply and Sanitation Deptt, Ludhiana