T.M. Vijay Bhaskar, 1.A.S. Joint Secretary टी.एम. विजय भास्कर,आई.ए.एस संयुक्त सचिव



भारत सरकार पेयजल एवं स्वच्छता मंत्रा**लय** राजीय गांधी राष्ट्रीय पेयजल **निरान** Government of India Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanfiation Rajiv Gandhi National Drinking Water Mission

No. G-11011/3/2011-Water(Pt)

Dated: 10th April, 2012

Subject: Management Devolution Index - Calculation for the year 2011-12

Dear Sir/ Madam,

The fund allocation criteria in the NRDWP guidelines as amended in 2011 provide for 10% weightage to "Rural Population Managing Rural Drinking Water Supply Schemes weighted by Management Devolution Index".

- 2. The MDI for the year 2011-12 was calculated on the basis of relevant and complete documents submitted by the States. On the basis of this MDI, and the rural population managing schemes in the State, the inter-State allocation of 10% Incentive weightage for the year 2011-12 was calculated.
- 3. The MDI indicatorwise scores calculated for each State for 2011-12 are hereby enclosed.
- 4. As can be seen, many States have not scored well in devolution of funds and functionaries. In some cases, due to the absence of relevant and complete supporting documents, States could not score better. You may like to consider taking steps for devolution on all indicators so as to obtain higher scores in 2012-13 and higher allocation of Incentive fund.

With regards.

Yours faithfully,

Migay Bhacker

To

Principal Secretaries / Secretaries incharge of Rural Water Supply in all States.

Encl: As Above

ग्रामीण क्षेत्रों में प्रेयंजल स्थायित्व एवं सम्पूर्ण स्वच्छता

Sustainable Drinking Weter and Sanitation for all in Rural Month Calculation of Management Devolution Index Devolution Indicators Weight ANOHRA PRADESH BIHAR CHHATTISGARL GUJARAT HIMACHAL PRADESH 1 13 10 11 12 14 15 16 Transfer of Functions to PRIs Claimed Awarded Reason Claimed Awarded Reason Claimed Awarded Reason Claimed Awarded Reason Claimed Claimed Awarded Reason The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure No spec creation of handpumps to PRIs 2 yes The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure creation of Single Village Piped Water Schemes to PRIs No specific No specifi 2 ves lyes: No The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for O&M of Hand Pumps to PRIs. 2 yes νes Ma The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for O&M of Single Village Piped Water Supply Schemes to PRIs. 2 yes yes ves Proportion of Handpumps whose O&M has been transferred to PRIs Q.D Proportion of Single Village Piped Water Schemes whose O&M has been transferred to PRIs 0.1 1.7 0.6 0.6 0.7 The VWSCs should be Standing/Sub-Committees of GPs under the State Act/Rules 0 No GO 2 yes 0.0 No GO DI No GO ves blo Proportion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the previous years as per the 2.3 0.8 **Total for Functions** 20 10.3 7.0 7.5 13.4 3.2 6.1 Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs Proportion of NRDWP (Coverage and Quality) funds (Central + State share), transferred to PRI*/DWSM subordinate to ZP accounts. 15 No No 0.0 No GO 0 No 60 Proportion of NRDWP (O&M) (Central +State share) transferred to Gram Panchayats accounts. 15 No 0.0 No GO ves DING 60 0.0 80 60 Whether untied grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 5 No (I No GO 0 No 60 No CO Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial 5 Yes No O No GO 0 No 60 Whether unit charges of electricity for pumping in drinking water supply schemes by PRIs equal to or lesser than lowest slab of unit charge for domestic consumers 5 Yes yes No Percentage of water charges demand collected by PRIs 0.2 Total for funds transfer to PRIs 50 10 0.2 0.0 0.2 Functionaries made available to Support PRIs. Proportion of blocks where block level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation 3.7 Proportion of filled up DWSM Consultants positions 2.5 0.9 O 1.0 Proportion of filled up BRC Coordinators positions 3.6 0 2.1 0 0.1 Proportion of VWSC members trained in RWS functions for at least two days 0.89 1.0 Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 1.1 3.8 0.7 Total for functionaries support to PRIs 25 10.8 2.4 12.5 0.0 7.29 RS 5.4 Good management indicators Proportion of households in State provided with household connections 0.7 0.2 1.5 0.8 0.9

0

0

0

0.0

0.2

0.9

2.3

28.1

4.8

19.7

Proportion of villages with bulk water supplies metered

Good management Indicators

3

0.0

0.7

31.8

Ð

0.9

16.3

Calculation of Management Devolution Index

Si.No.	Devolution Indicators	Weight	J&K		HARKHAND		ï	KARNATAKA			KERALA			M.P.			reproduction	A		ORISSA	
1	2	3	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35		\$7	30	39	40	41
	Transfer of Functions to PRIs				Awarded			Awarded	Reason	Claimed	Awarded	Reason	Claimed	Awarded	Reason	Claimed	tions des	Resson	Claimed	Awarded	Reason
1.1	The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should																	1			
l'''	clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure																				No specific
	creation of handpumps to PRIs	,	l	No			yes	,		yes	a	No specific documents	No I	0	i	yes	ها	dopuments	Ves		documents
<u> </u>		۷		NO	-		yes _			yes								 	7		
1.2	The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure						İ										ŀ				
	creation of Single Village Piped Water Schemes to PRIs			1					i							· ·		No.			No specific
	for	,		No	ا ا		yes	,	1	yes	,		yes	٥	No specific documents	yes	ه ا	documents	Ves	0	documents
4.0	The state of the s			ino .	<u>`</u>	<u> </u>	yes _			,		-	,			7			<u> </u>		+
1.3	The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for O&M of Hand																ł				
		٠,			ا ا	No specific documents		١,	ŀ	yes	2	1	No	0		yes			was	2	
	Pumps to PRIs.			yes	<u>'</u>	occannance .	AE2			yes			-			,		 	-		
1.4	The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for O&M of Single					l									,		Į.			•	
]	_			_ ا						-				J.		,	d
<u></u>	Village Piped Water Supply Schemes to PRIs.	2	ļ	yes	2		yes			yes	2	<u> </u>	yes			yes			7=		
1.5	Proportion of Handpumps whose O&M has been	l .	l	l		l		١			2		l '				2.0	j i		2.0	
·	transferred to PRIs	2			2			1.8						U			2.0	 		2.0	
1.6	Proportion of Single Village Piped Water Schemes whose	ļ.	l	l		ŀ			1			į		_			١	J		١.,	.
	O&M has been transferred to PRIs	2			0.6			1.7			0.6	1	ļ	. Z			1.9	 		1.7	├
1.7	The VWSCs should be Standing/Sub-Committees of GPs	1	I	1	1	l		l	ļ					_	l	L	_ ا	1	L	_	
<u></u>	under the State Act/Rules	2		γes	2		γes	0	No GO	γes	2		yes	2	ļ	yes		1	yes .	0	No GO
1.8	Proportion of Drinking Water sources for which water		I	I	1			1						,	1		1	ľ			1
!	quality testing done during the previous years as per the		I	1		l .							1		1	İ	l	1			.
	IMIS	6	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0			1.8			0.8			1.1			0.1			0.5	1
	Total for Functions	20	0.0		6.6			13.3			11.4			7.1	<u> </u>		18.1	<u> </u>		8.1	4
2	Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs			1											L						<u> </u>
2.1	Proportion of NRDWP (Coverage and Quality) funds		1				_														
[(Central + State share), transferred to PRI*/DWSM		l	ŀ											l			l:			
1	subordinale to ZP accounts.	15		Na	0		yes	2.2		No	0	ı	yes	0.0	No GO	yes	0.0	No GD	Ho	0	<u>4</u>
2.2	Proportion of NRDWP (O&M) (Central +State share)				<u> </u>			T										1			
	transferred to Gram Panchayats accounts.	15	1	yes	4.1		yes	8.7		No	0	ı	γes	0.0	No 60	yes	9.0	100 00	No .	. 0	4
2.3	Whether united grants from State Plan/non-plan have		†									Ì						1			
	been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year	5		No	0		yes	5		yes	0	No GO	yes	0	Mo GO	yes		100	No	0	,
2.4	Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission		1 '''																		
	have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial					ł							1						1		1
	vear	5		No	1 0		yes	0	No GO	No .	0		yes	0	No GD	yes		100 GD	No	0	4
2.5	Whether unit charges of electricity for pumping in drinking			———											1						
	water supply schemes by PRIs equal to or lesser than																	ŀ			l
1	lowest stab of unit charge for domestic consumers			1							1				No specific			the specific			1
i .		5		No	0		No	0	ŀ	No	· 5	i	yes	0	documents	yes	(dopuments	No.	0	<u> </u>
2.6	Percentage of water charges demand collected by PRIs				1																
	I	5	1		0.8	1	1	0	ł	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	L		<u></u>	1	2.9		<u> </u>	0.3	
	Total for funds transfer to PRIs	50	0.0	ol .	4.8			15.9		T	5.0			0.0	12 T		2.4			0.3	j
3	Functionaries made available to Support PRIs.	 	 	1	† 	i –	 														T
3.1	Proportion of blocks where block level Diploma/Graduate	 	 	1	 		 	 	—		 	t	t				1	1	1		\vdash
J ^{3.} '	engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1		I	.1			1			l	1					l	1				1
1	lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and		1	1	1	1	I		Ì	1				1			1	1			1
1	sanitation	Ι.	.]	1			I	<		1	5	:		4.7		1	1 9	5		l o	ار
22	Proportion of filled up DWSM Consultants positions	 	1	 	1.1	 	 	5	1	 		1	 	0.6			1	1	<u> </u>	- 0	ıtt
3.2		 		+	0.8			3.8		 	 `	 	 	1.1		 	1 12		 	-	<u>. — </u>
3.3	Proportion of filled up BRC Coordinators positions		1 —	1	1 0.8	\	+	3.0		 		+	 		 	 		 	 	⊢	+
3.4	Proportion of VWSC members trained in RWS functions		.l	1	١ ،	J	l	0	J	l .	١٥	J		1.2				١.	1	، ا	, l
<u></u>	for at least two days		1	 	+ · · · ·	 		 	}	 	 "	+			 	 	 		 	- 	+
3.5	Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and	Ί.	.1	I \		1	,			`	0.7	,	l'	1.1	l	l	0.4	,	1	0.3	ا ا
ļ	HRO activities	1	<u>'</u>	 	0.5		-	1.2		┼	5.7		\vdash	8.7		 	11.		1	0.3	
<u> </u>	Total for functionaries support to PRIs	29	0.0	" 	7.3	-	├─	15.0	 	 	5./	'	ł	0.7		 	 		┼	0.3	+
! *	Good management indicators			 _ 		 		 		1	 	↓			}	1		+	 	1	+
4.1	Proportion of households in State provided with household	4	J		1 .				.]	l	_ ا	J	l			ŀ			1	۱ ,	\l
1	connections	ئـــــا	<u> </u>	ļ	ļo		ļ	0.4		<u> </u>	0	1		0.2			<u> </u>		 		1
4.2	Proportion of villages with bulk water supplies metered	3	<u> </u>		0		<u></u>	1.9			0		Ļ	0.3			0.1		<u>}</u>		
	Good management indicators	ļ :	5	9	0			2.3			0		L	0.5			6.			0	
—	total	100	0.0		18.7	1		46.4	ı		22.1	1		16.3			34.			8.8	3
			-	-	•		-								300		1				

	Calculation of Management Devolution Index																						
SI.No.	Devolution Indicators	Weight		PUNJAB		T	RAJASTHAN		Г	TAMILNADU		U	TTAR PRADE	SH	UTTARANDHAL				WISST DINIGAL				
1	2	3	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55		57	58	59			
	Transfer of Functions to PRIs		Claimed	Awarded	Reason	Claimed	Awarded	Reason	Claimed	Awarded	Reason	Claimed	Awarded	Reason		Americal			Averarded	Reason			
1.1	The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should							ľ		1		i i			†					1			
1	clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure	,	Ī						ſ				Ī	No specific	1		ľ	ľ		L .			
	creation of handpumps to PRIs		No	0		yes	2		yes	2		yes	0	documents	yes	1 2		V 05		No specific documents			
1,2	The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should										<u> </u>	<u> </u>						1 -		┢──			
	clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure							l		ľ			1		Į .	1			i '	1			
	creation of Single Village Piped Water Schemes to PRIs							No specific								1.	1		·	No specific			
1.3	for The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly	²	yes	2		yes	0	documents	γes	2		yes	2		yes	2		Yes	0	documents			
l'	define the transfer of responsibility for O&M of Hand												l		1		. .	1					
	Pumps to PRIs.		yes	۱ ،	No specific documents	yes	١,	İ	γes	,			Ι,					L		No specific			
1.4	The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly	1	,,	 		753	 -	├──	Yes			γes	 	 	yes		—	Pres.		documents			
	define the transfer of responsibility for O&M of Single											l		Ì				1		l			
	Village Piped Water Supply Schemes to PRIs.	2	γes	,	ĺ	yes	2		yes	,	.]	γes	١,					L	. '	ĺ			
1.5	Proportion of Handpumps whose O&M has been		1,			,63	 *	 	yes		╂	yes			yes		<u> </u>	100					
	transferred to PRIs	2		2		1	2			,	l		1.6	1				1		1			
1.6	Proportion of Single Village Piped Water Schemes whose		1 -			 -	-	— —			 	t		 	 	 		+					
L	O&M has been transferred to PRIs	2		0.8		L	0.6			2			1.4			1.6	L	1	0.6	1			
1.7	The WSCs should be Standing/Sub-Committees of GPs								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				<u> </u>					T					
-	under the State Act/Rules		No	0		yes	2	L	yes	0		yes	2		yes		No GD	lees	. 0	No GO			
1.B	Proportion of Drinking Water sources for which water																r			-			
	quality leating done during the previous years as per the IMIS												İ						, ,	ı			
		6		1.4			1.5			4.1		<u> </u>	0.2			9,1			. 0	L			
_	Total for Functions	20		8.2			12.1			16.1			11.2			13			4.6				
2 2.1	Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs																						
Z. I	Proportion of NRDWP (Coverage and Quality) funds (Central + State share), transferred to PRI*/DWSM									1	i	Ī											
	subordinate to ZP accounts.		yes	0.0	No GO	No l	o	l i		_		l	_ ا			ا ا	4			l i			
2.2	Proportion of NRDWP (O&M) (Central +State share)		/	0.0	140 00	140			yes		No 60	yes	<u>_</u>	No GO	yes	0,0	90 90	PR	0.5				
	transferred to Gram Panchayats accounts.		yes	a	No GO	No	n		yes	٥	No GO	yes	٨	No GO	No ·					N- 60			
2.3	Whether untied grants from State Plan/non-plan have		<u> </u>						,,,,			,							V.J.	No GO			
	been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year		Na	a		No	0		yes	5		γes	0	No GD	No	اما		No	ا م	i			
2.4	Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission																		<u>-</u>				
l	have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial						,					f 1											
2,5	year	5	No	0		No	0		γes	. 5		yes	5		No			No	0	i l			
2.5	Whether unit charges of electricity for pumping in drinking																						
	water supply schemes by PRIs equal to or lesser than lowest slab of unit charge for domestic consumers								i	,													
	lowest slab of this charge for dornestic consumers		yes		No specific documents	No						l								No specific			
2.6	Percentage of water charges demand collected by PRIs		,,,,			140	0		No	- 0		No	0		No			Yes	0	documents			
		5		3.9			0			3.1		,	0.8			أم			اہ				
	Total for funds transfer to PRIs	50		3.9			0.0	-		13.1		-	5.8			0.0			2.8 3.3				
3	Functionaries made available to Support PRIs.												3.0										
3.1	Proportion of blocks where block level Diploma/Graduate																	 					
	engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1									[5		1	ĺ			
	takh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and								1							1			,				
	sanitation	5		5			0			5			5			يا			3.1				
3.2	Proportion of filled up DWSM Consultants positions	5		1			2.5			1.0			0			- 1	8 · :		- 0				
	Proportion of filled up BRC Coordinators positions	5		2.5			0			2.5			0			- 1	×						
3.4	Proportion of VWSC members trained in RWS functions																400	1					
3.6	for at least two days	5		1.2			0			6.0			0			0.7	į.		o				
3.5	Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities	<u> </u>		`			` .	1		``		T	\		•								
	Total for functionaries support to PRIs	25		1.5			2.1		-	0.7			1.9			3.0	<u> </u>		2.0	لحسوا			
4	Good management indicators	25		11.2			4.6			9.2			6.9			13.7		I	5.1				
	Proportion of households in State provided with household																	I	I				
	connections	2		0.5	l		اہ	l		اء			ام	l		1	\$						
	Proportion of villages with bulk water supplies metered	3					0		-	0.2			0.0			0.4	20 L		0.0				
	Good management indicators			0.1		 	0.3			3			0						0.1				
	total	5 100		0.5			0.3			3.2			0.0						0.2]			
	wai	TOO		23.8		L l	17.0			41.7			24.0		4.0	39.31	5.3	<u> </u>	18.2	1			

Simple Devolution fundactors Section S		Calculation of Management Devolution Index with documents NE States											
Transfer of Functions to PHIs 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 11 12 13 13 13 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15			Weight age	Arm	nachal Prac	iech	γ	Azzana	is and the second	T		36 - 4 3	
Transfer of Functions to PRIS The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure creation of handpumps to PRIS The State Acts and/ or or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure creation of Single Village Piped Water Schemes to PRIS to PRIS the State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure creation of Single Village Piped Water Schemes to PRIS to The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for OxM of Hand Pumps to PRIS. 13. The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for OxM of Hand Pumps to PRIS. 14. The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for OxM of Hand Pumps to PRIS. 15. The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for OxM of Hand Pumps to PRIS. 16. Proportion of Single Village Piped Water Schemes to PRIS. 17. The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for OxM of Hand Pumps to PRIS. 18. The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of the properties of Hand Pumps to PRIS. 19. The VWSCs should be Standing/Sub-Committees of GPs under the State Act/Rules 2	1	2					7		1 0				
1.1 The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure creation of Single Village Piped Water Schemes to Pills. 2 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No		Transfer of Functions to PRIs			 		<u> </u>	 					
Prepagnositivity for Infrastructure creation of handpumps to PRIs 2 No	1.1	The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should clearly define the transfer of					Ciamica	Awarded	Medabili		Position and Control	Awaresc	Keason
1.2 The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for infrastructure creation of Single Village Ployd Water Schemes to Plate for The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of trapposibility for Osm of Tata Designer of Plate for The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of trapposibility for Osm of Tata Designer of T	<u></u>	responsibility for infrastructure creation of handpumps to PRIs	2	No	۱ ۵		No					١ .	
responsibility for infrastructure creation of Single Village Piped Water Schemes to PRIs for responsibility for OM of OTA STATE State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for OM of OTA STATE STATE STATE ACTS and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for OM of OTA STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE ACTS and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for OM of OTA STATE S	1.2	The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should clearly define the transfer of			<u> </u>		NO		-	7.5	NO	 0	ļ
Proportion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the propriotion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality funds (Central + State share). 2	l	responsibility for infrastructure creation of Single Village Piped Water Schemes to								e îsi≒		1	
1.3 The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for OAM of Hand Pumps to PRIs. 1.4 The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for OAM of Island Pumps to PRIs. 1.5 Proportion of Dininking Wilace or Should be should be transferred to PRIs. 1.6 Proportion of Single Village Piped Water Supply Schemes to PRIs. 2 Ves. 0 No. 0 N		PRISTOT	1 2	No	l 0		No.	۸ ا	ľ		.		No specific
Proportion of Name Name	1.3	The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of					110		<u>'</u>		163	<u> </u>	documents
1-1 The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of responsibility for O&M of Gingle Village Pluged Water Duply Schemes to PRIS. 2 ves 0 No 0 0 0 15	<u></u>	responsibility for O&M of Hand Pumps to PRIs.	l 🤈	Yes	l n		N _O						1 1
	1.4	The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of		1.00	<u> </u>		NO				INO.	<u> </u>	
1.5 Proportion of Handgumps whose O&M has been transferred to PRIs 2	1 -	Iresponsibility for O&M of Single Village Piped Water Supply Schemes to PDIs		Yes	0		No	n			Ma	ا ا	i
1.5 Proportion of Single Village Piped Water Schemes whose 0&M has been transferred to PRIs 2		Proportion of Handpumps whose O&M has been transferred to PRIs	2		0.0						140		
Priss 17 The WWSc should be Standing/Sub-Committees of GPs under the State Act/Rules 2 Ves 0 No 0 No 0	1.6	Proportion of Single Village Piped Water Schemes whose O&M has been transferred to							 		-	 	
1.1. In Proportion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the previous years as per the IMIS Total for Functions 2. Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs Total for Functions 2. Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs Total for Functions 2. Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs Total for Functions 2. Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs Total for Functions 2. Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs Total for Functions 2. Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs Troportion of NRDWP (Coverage and Quality) funds (Central + State share), transferred to PRI*/DWSM subordinate to 2P accounts. 2. Proportion of NRDWP (Coverage and Quality) funds (Central + State share), transferred to PRI*/DWSM subordinate to 2P accounts. 2. Proportion of NRDWP (Cowerage and Quality) funds (Central + State share), transferred to PRI*/DWSM subordinate to 2P accounts. 3. Whether unded grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 4. Whether unded grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 5. No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 2. Whether unded grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 5. No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 2. Whether unded grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 5. No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 2. Whether unded grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 5. No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 2. Proportion of learning for the state of the properties of the prope		PRIS	2	i	0.1			n				ا م	
1.8 Proportion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the previous years as per the IMIS 1.7 Total for Functions 2. Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs 2. Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs 2. Proportion of NRDWP (Coverage and Quality) funds (Central + State share), transferred to PRIs*/DWSM subordinate to ZP accounts. 2. Proportion of NRDWP (Coternal + State share) transferred to Gram Panchayats accounts. 2. Proportion of NRDWP (Coternal + State share) transferred to Gram Panchayats accounts. 2. Whether united grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 2. Whether united grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 2. Whether united grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 2. Whether united grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 3. No 3. Whether unite charges of electricity for pumping in drinking water supply schemes by PRIS equal to or lesser than lowers table of unit charge for domestic consumers 2. Percentage of water charges demand collected by PRIS 3. Functionaries made available to Support PRIS. 3. Proportion of Subject to PRIS 3. Proportion of Subject where block level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lash rural population exclusively for rural water supply more of filled up DWSM Consultants positions 4. Proportion of MIR dup DWSM Consultants positions 5. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	1.7	The VWSCs should be Standing/Sub-Committees of GPs under the State Act/Rules										0.0	
1.53 Proportion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the previous years as per the IMIS Total for Functions 20	<u> </u>		2	Yes	0		No	n			a		
Description of NRDWP (Coverage and Quality) funds (Central + State share). Trotal for Functions Trotal for funds to PRIS PRIS Trotal for funds to PRIS PRIS Trotal for funds transfer to PRIS Trotal for funds adaptation Trotal for funds transfer to PRIS Trotal for funds transfer to PRIS Trotal for funds adaptation Trotal for funds transfer to PRIS Trotal for funds transfer to PRIS Trotal for funds transfer to PRIS Trotal for funds transfer to PRIS Trotal for funds transfer to PRIS Trotal for funds transfer to PRIS Trotal for funds transfer to PRIS Trotal	1.8	Proportion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the							-			- <u>'</u>	
Total for Functions		previous years as per the IMIS	_		0.4			0.2		- 44		01	
2. Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs 2. Proportion of NRDWP (Coverage and Quality) funds (Central + State share), transferred to PRI*/DWSM subordinate to ZP accounts. 2. Proportion of NRDWP (O&M) (Central + State share) transferred to Gram Panchayats accounts. 2. Proportion of NRDWP (O&M) (Central + State share) transferred to Gram Panchayats accounts. 2. Whether untied grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 2. Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 2. Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 3. Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 3. Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 3. Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 3. Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 4. Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 4. Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 4. Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 5. No. 0. No.			20										
transferred to PRI*/DWSM subordinate to 2P accounts. 15 Yes 0 No 0 No 0 No	2	Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs						- CIA				U.Z	
transferred to PRI*/DWSM subordinate to ZP accounts. 15 Yes	2.1	Proportion of NRDWP (Coverage and Quality) funds (Central + State share),											
Proportion of NRDWP (O&M) (Central +State share) transferred to Gram Panchayats accounts. 15 No		transferred to PRI*/DWSM subordinate to ZP accounts.	15	Yes	0		No I	n			Ma.	ا ا	
accounts. 3 Whether untied grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 2.4 Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 2.5 Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 2.5 Whether untie charges of electricity for pumping in drinking water supply schemes by PRIs equal to or lesser than lowest slab of unit charge for domestic consumers 5 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 8 O 0 No 0 No 0 9 O 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 10 O 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0	2.2	Proportion of NRDWP (O&M) (Central +State share) transferred to Gram Panchayats			Ť						240	<u>'</u>	
whether untied grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0	~ ~	accounts.	15	No	0		No .	0			No	اما	
PRISE equal to or lesser than lowest slab of unit charge for domestic consumers 2.6 Percentage of water charges demand collected by PRIs 2.6 Percentage of water charges demand collected by PRIs 3.1 Proportion of blocks where block level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation 3.2 Proportion of filled up DRC Coordinators positions 3.3 Proportion of NRDWP Support Funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 3.5 Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 3.6 Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 3.7 Proportion of Villages with bulk water supplies metered 3.8 Proportion of Villages with bulk water supplies metered 3.0 Proportion of Villages with bulk water supplies metered 3.1 Proportion of Villages with bulk water supplies metered 3.2 Proportion of Villages with bulk water supplies metered 3.3 Proportion of Villages with bulk water supplies metered 3.4 Proportion of Villages with bulk water supplies metered 3.5 Do 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.3	Whether untied grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in									110	<u> </u>	
Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all GPs in preceding financial year 2.5 Whether unit charges of electricity for pumping in drinking water supply schemes by PRIs equal to or lesser than lowest slab of unit charge for domestic consumers 2.6 Percentage of water charges demand collected by PRIs 2.7 Total for funds transfer to PRIs 3 Functionaries made available to Support PRIs. 3.1 Proportion of blocks where block level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation 3.2 Proportion of filled up BWS Consultants positions 3.3 Proportion of filled up BWS Coordinators positions 4. Proportion of WWS members trained in RWS functions for at least two days 5 0 0 0.2 0 0 8. Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 5 0.7 0.3 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1		preceding financial year	5	No	ol	ŀ	No I	n		11.0	No	اما	
CFS In preceding financial year S No	2.4	Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all									140		
Whether unit charges of electricity for pumpling in drinking water supply schemes by PRIs equal to or lesser than lowest slab of unit charge for domestic consumers 5 No 0 No 0 No 0 Total for funds transfer to PRIs 5 0 0 2.2 0 0 Total for funds transfer to PRIs 5 0 0 2.2 0 0 Froportion of blocks where block level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		GPS in preceding financial year	5	No	ol	1	No I	ո		4	No.		
PRIS equal to or lesser than lowest slab of unit charge for domestic consumers 5 No 0 No 0 No 0 Total for funds transfer to PRIS 5 0 2.2 0 Functionaries made available to Support PRIs. 7 Proportion of blocks where block level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation 3.2 Proportion of filled up DWSM Consultants positions 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2.5	Whether unit charges of electricity for pumping in drinking water supply schemes by		İ				<u>`</u>		***************************************	110	4	
Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Tunctionaries made available to Support PRIs. Functionaries plock level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation Functionaries plock level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation Functionaries plock level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation Functionaries made available to Support BRIs Functionaries made available to Support available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supplies metered Functionaries made available to Support BRIs Functionaries made available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supplies metered Functionaries made available to Support BRIs Functionaries made available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supplies meter available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supplies meter available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supplies meter available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP/BP/BP/BP/BP/		PRIs equal to or lesser than lowest slab of unit charge for domestic consumers			Ī				ŀ	4 1			
Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for funds transfer to PRIs Total for functionaries support to PRIs Total for functionaries support to PRIs Total for functionaries made available to Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities Total for functionaries support to PRIs Total for functiona	2.0		5	No	0	ĺ	No	0			No.	اه	ļ
Solid For Funds Gransfer to PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Support PRIs Solid Solid Support PRIs Solid Solid Solid Support PRIs Solid Sol	2.0	Percentage of water charges demand collected by PRIs	5		0								
Proportion of blocks where block level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			50		0					- 8			
PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation 3.2 Proportion of filled up DWSM Consultants positions 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		Functionaries made available to Support PRIs.								2,02			
PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water supply and sanitation 3.2 Proportion of filled up DWSM Consultants positions 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Proportion of blocks where block level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in								***			
Supply and sanitation 3.2 Proportion of filled up DWSM Consultants positions 3.3 Proportion of filled up BRC Coordinators positions 3.4 Proportion of VWSC members trained in RWS functions for at least two days 3.5 Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 3.6 Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 3.7 Total for functionaries support to PRIs 4.1 Proportion of households in State provided with household connections 4.2 Proportion of villages with bulk water supplies metered 4.3 O		PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water		ŀ		- 1		- 1	ı	7,00		-1	
3.3 Proportion of filled up BRC Coordinators positions 3.4 Proportion of VWSC members trained in RWS functions for at least two days 3.5 Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 3.6 Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 3.7 Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 3.6 Good management indicators 3.7 Proportion of households in State provided with household connections 3.8 Proportion of households in State provided with household connections 3.9 Proportion of villages with bulk water supplies metered 3.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		SUPPLY and sanitation	5		5		- 1	5	1				
Step Step	3.2	Proportion of filled up DWSM Consultants positions	5		2			0				- 1	
3.5 Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 5 0.7 0.3 0.1 Total for functionaries support to PRIs 25 7.7 6.7 0.1 Good management indicators 2 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3.3	Proportion of filled up BRC Coordinators positions	5		0			1.2		2.57	-		
Total for functionaries support to PRIs Good management indicators Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities 5 0.7 0.3 0.1 Cood management indicators Proportion of households in State provided with household connections Proportion of villages with bulk water supplies metered Good management Indicators 5 0.0 0 Good management Indicators 5 0.0 0 Cood management Indicators		Proportion of VWSC members trained in RWS functions for at least two days	5		0								——
Total for functionaries support to PRIs Good management indicators 1 Proportion of households in State provided with household connections 1.2 Proportion of villages with bulk water supplies metered 1.3 0 0 0 1.5 0 1.6 0 1.7 0 0 1.7 0 0 1.7 0 0 1.7 0 0 1.8 0 1.9 0 0 1		Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities			0.7			0.2					——
Good management indicators 1.1 Proportion of households in State provided with household connections 1.2 Proportion of villages with bulk water supplies metered 1.3 0 0 0 1.5 Good management Indicators 1.6 0.0 0 0 1.7 0.0 0 1.8 0.0 0 1.9 0.0 0 1		Total for functionaries support to PRIs											——
Good management Indicators 5 0.0 0 0 0	4	Good management indicators				-+		5.7				2.1	
Good management Indicators 5 0.0 0 0 0	4.1	Proportion of households in State provided with household connections	2		0.0	- 							
Good management Indicators 5 0.0 0 0										3 (1)			——
total 100 000		Good management Indicators											——
		total	100		8.2	-+		9.1		7	(85	5.3	

	Calculation of Management Devolution Index with documents NE States												
Sl.No.	Devolution Indicators		Mizoram			Naga land	_	Silddin			Tripura		
1	2	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		25
L	Transfer of Functions to PRIs	Claimed	Awarded	Reason	Claimed	Awarded	Reason	Claimed	Awarded	Resson		Awarded	Reason
1.1	The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should clearly define the transfer of						<u> </u>	·					
1	responsibility for infrastructure creation of handpumps to PRIs	No	٥		No	lα	, l	No	6		Yes		No specific documents
1.2	The State Acts and/ or executive orders/MOUs should clearly define the transfer of					Ť	-				.00		
1	responsibility for infrastructure creation of Single Village Piped Water Schemes to									[]			
	PRIs for	No	l 0		No	2		Yes	,		Yes	۸	No specific documents
1.3	The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.10		 	103		4	169		- COCCUINETIS
1	responsibility for O&M of Hand Pumps to PRIs.	No	ا ا		No	l o		No	ا ا		Yes	2	
1.4	The State Acts and/ or executive orders should clearly define the transfer of		-		NO	- 0		NU	<u>-</u>		res		┼
1	responsibility for O&M of Single Village Piped Water Supply Schemes to PRIs.	No	ا ا		Yes	2		Yes	2		Yes	, ,	
1.5	Proportion of Handpumps whose O&M has been transferred to PRIs		0		100	0		103			163	2	
	Proportion of Single Village Piped Water Schemes whose O&M has been transferred to		<u> </u>			<u>-</u>				-			
	PRIS		1.4		ĺ	1.6			1,5	1.		,	
1.7	The VWSCs should be Standing/Sub-Committees of GPs under the State Act/Rules		1.7			1.0	 -						
		No	ام ا		Yes	2		Yes	,		Yes	0	No GO
1.8	Proportion of Drinking Water sources for which water quality testing done during the		-		163			169	-	-	169	U	NO GO
	previous years as per the IMIS		0.2			lo			0.0	·		0.0	
	Total for Functions		1.6	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		7.6	 		7.5			8.0	
2	Availability/Transfer of funds to PRIs		1.0			7.0	1			23.5		0.0	
1	Proportion of NRDWP (Coverage and Quality) funds (Central + State share),						 						\vdash
	transferred to PRI*/DWSM subordinate to ZP accounts.	Yes	ام ا	No GO	Yes	۸	No GO	Yes			٠	0.0	l
2.2	Proportion of NRDWP (0&M) (Central +State share) transferred to Gram Panchayats		<u></u>	140 00	162		red GO	169		80 BD	Yes	0.0	No GO
	accounts.	No	ا ا	,	Yes	م م	No GO	Yes			V	.	
2.3	Whether untied grants from State Plan/non-plan have been transferred to all GPs in		U		ies	0.0	No GO	res	91/	No 60	Yes	U	No GO
	preceding financial year	No	ا ا		No	n		Yes	۸ ا	No GO	Yes		No GO
2.4	Whether untied grants from State Finance Commission have been transferred to all		<u>~</u>		110	· ·		163	<u> </u>	140 60	162		NOGU
	GPs in preceding financial year	No	o		No	0		Yes		No 60	Yes	^	N- 60
	Whether unit charges of electricity for pumping in drinking water supply schemes by		ď		110	-		163		Judy GO	169		No GO
	PRIs equal to or lesser than lowest slab of unit charge for domestic consumers												
	- 1-10 4 mail to 01 103501 and 10 west slate of anne charge for admicsary consumers	No	o		Yes	n	No specific documents	Yes		No specific digioments	Yes	^	No specific documents
2.6	Percentage of water charges demand collected by PRIs	110	0		163	0		165	Ō		1 62	0	GOCUMENT
	Total for funds transfer to PRIs		ő			0.0		'	0.0			0.0	
3	Functionaries made available to Support PRIs.					0.0			4.0			0.0	
	Proportion of blocks where block level Diploma/Graduate engineers are available in									 	-		
	PHED/PRED/ZP/BP @ one for 1 lakh rural population exclusively for rural water							,					1
	supply and sanitation		5			0.0			5.0			5	
3.2	Proportion of filled up DWSM Consultants positions	***	0	-		3			0.3	 		- 0	
	Proportion of filled up BRC Coordinators positions		<u> </u>			0			0			- 0	
	Proportion of VWSC members trained in RWS functions for at least two days		16			0.1						1 4	
	Proportion of NRDWP Support funds spent on IEC and HRD activities		1.0			2.1			0.9 1.1			0.9	
	Total for functionaries support to PRIs		7.8			5.2			7.3		-	7.3	-
	Good management indicators		. 7.0			3.2	-		7.3			, 7.3	
	Proportion of households in State provided with household connections		0.0	——				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.3				
4.2	Proportion of villages with bulk water supplies metered					0	_					0.0	
	Good management Indicators		0			0			0			0	
	total		0.0 9.5			12.0			1.1			0.0	
	tuai		7.5			12.8	i		16.4			15.3	